

101 To THEOPHILUS LINDSEY, 24 February 1791

MS: Dr. Williams's Library, MS. 12.12, f. 203-204  
ADDRESS: The Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr Lindsey Essex Street London  
POSTMARK: Birmingham, Feb 25 1791  
ENDORSEMENT: Ans<sup>d</sup>. Feb. 26. 1791.

[Feb 24. 91]

Dear friend

Had you condemned the Sermon<sup>1</sup> at once, I should have thought no more of it, but as you did not dislike it much at first, you may come to wish I had preached it. I own I am now rather inclined to be my own advocate, especially as I do not see the force of my own original objections, in the strong light that I then did, and in that in which you now see them. As to giving offence, it will not be remedied, if it † [[be]] worth remedying (which I think it is not) by silence. I have //heard// so much of the offence I have given by my Letters to Mr Burke,<sup>2</sup> that as I intirely approve of my own conduct in that business, I am perfectly indifferent to it, and even rather amused and pleased with it. Indeed, no great good was ever done with[[out]][?] risking and incurring much dislike. Besides I can easily alter many things of that kind, and introduce others.

Mr Russell<sup>3</sup> has not seen the Sermon; but he is not previously disposed to feel with you on these occasions. He most cordially approved what you condemned, and what I suppressed, in the Letters to Mr Burke. I do not mean the 13<sup>th</sup> Letter, but another part, in which I had touched[?] in a more pointed[?] manner on his conduct in the House respecting our Bill. A little of it I retained, but he wishes I had retained much more.

However, I will not decide for myself I beg you would shew the Discourse to Mr Belsham,<sup>4</sup> and let him give an impartial judgment<sup>t</sup> as I doubt not he will. Mr Dodson[[ 's ]]<sup>5</sup> opinion you will hardly think sufficient, or I should have no objection to your shewing it to him, remembering that it is not to be delivered as it now is, but as it may be improved, and I shall be glad to receive any hints of improvement

As to Mr Wakefield,<sup>6</sup> tho his not be[ing] invited weighs with me, I cannot oblige[?] that[?] to the Committee, because it would be reflecting upon them; and Mr Belsham observed that a person who attends no public worship cannot be offended at not being asked to preach.

In great haste, but I must leave no time,  
yours & M<sup>rs</sup> Lindsey's  
most affectionately  
J Priestley

---

<sup>1</sup> Priestley, *The Proper Objects of Education in the Present State of the World: represented in a Discourse, delivered on Wednesday, April 27, 1791, at the Meeting-House in the Old-Jewry, London; to the Supporters of the New College at Hackney* (London, 1791).

<sup>2</sup> Priestley, *Letters to the Right Honourable Edmund Burke, occasioned by his Reflections on the Revolution in France &c.* (Birmingham, 1791).

<sup>3</sup> William Russell (1740-1818), see 5 Jul 1786.

<sup>4</sup> Thomas Belsham (1750-1829), see 3 Apr 1789.

<sup>5</sup> Michael Dodson (1732-1799), see 27 Nov 1787.

<sup>6</sup> Gilbert Wakefield (1756-1801), see 3 Apr 1789.

**101 To THEOPHILUS LINDSEY, 24 February 1791**

P.S. I have just read Mr Paine's<sup>7</sup> pamphlet, and admire it exceedingly. I own it has made me more desirous of delivering my discourse than I ~~was~~ was before.

Joseph<sup>8</sup> set off for Manchester last night. I hope a good providence will accompany him.

---

<sup>7</sup> Thomas Paine (1737-1809), see 23 Feb 1791.

<sup>8</sup> Joseph Priestley jnr. (1768-1863), see 12 Oct 1789.