MS: Dr. Williams's Library, MS. 12.12, f. 183-184

PRINTED: Rutt, I, ii, pp. 97-98<sup>1</sup>

ADDRESS: The Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr Lindsey Essex Street London

POSTMARK: Birmingham, Nov 24 1790

Birm. Dec<sup>br</sup> 23. 1790

## Dear friend

In compliance with your wishes, I have cancelled the whole of the <u>last letter</u>, tho' the sheet was printed. The preceding letter will make a sufficiently good close. I have also cancelled the leaf where our ministry are said to be unfavourable to the French Revolution and another that D<sup>r</sup> Price<sup>2</sup> pointed out, where <u>the sixth of October</u> is put for the <u>14<sup>th</sup> of July</u>,<sup>3</sup> having also made some other alterations in it. The other passages I really think are trifles, and may be changed in a new edition, if there be any. I cannot read Mr Burke<sup>4</sup> any more, being confident it would answer no good purpose. Tho, tired as I am of the business, having done thus much, I would not fail to do more, if I had any prospect of doing better. It is time also to put an end to your anxiety about it, which is excessive.

How Mr Jardine<sup>5</sup> will receive our invitation I cannot tell; but ?— his prospects at Bath (as he writes in confidence to a friend at Warwick) are not so promising as they have been. He has by no means the success that he wished, ?— //and// hoped. There is a better field here, and considering his age I look to him for a suc[[c]]essor to myself. Besides, we have thoughts of opening another and proper <u>unitarian Chapel</u>, where for some time we may officiate by turns, having at first one service a day. If we can get a zealous unitarian in the other meeting, this may be done with ease, and indeed very well if Mr Coates<sup>6</sup> continue here.

The more I think or your situation with respect to the Disneys, the more I feel for you. It must have been beyond measure painful painful. But, happily, time reconciles us to -? every thing, and if we //be// conscious that we have done our duty, we have no reason to be much distressed. It would, to appearance, be a happy event if he would leave you. You would not now be so much at a loss for an assistant.

Mr Estlin<sup>8</sup> wants an assistant in his school [an]d Mr Dyer<sup>9</sup> has been named to him. I hav[e] referred him to Mr Frend.<sup>10</sup> Mr Kingsbury<sup>11</sup> has refused to go because he must have occasionally walked out with the boys. You heard of his leaving Warwick. He has as foll foolishly left Evesham, and they say is coming to live by his pen at Birmingham. It is little less than insanity. He will be a beggar among us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rutt's edition prints only the first paragraph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Richard Price (1723-1791), see 18 Dec 1769.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Priestley, Letters to the Right Honourable Edmund Burke, occasioned by his Reflections on the Revolution in France &c. (Birmingham, 1791), 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Edmund Burke (1729/30-1797), see 11 Mar 1790.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> David Jardine (1766-1797), see 13 Oct 1790.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> John Coates (1765?-1836), see 11 Jun 1787.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> John Disney (1746-1816), see 11 Jun 1787.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> John Prior Estlin (1747-1817), see 14 Jul 1787.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> George Dyer (1755-1841), see 29 Jun 1788.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> William Frend (1757-1841), see 4 May 1789.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Benjamin Kingsbury (b. 1765?), see 29 Mar 1790.

## 91 To THEOPHILUS LINDSEY, 23 December 1790

Yours & M<sup>rs</sup> Lindsey's most affectionately J Priestley