

Assessment of, for and as Learning

	Assessment		
Types	of Learning	for Learning	as Learning
Main Purpose	summative - graded (certify proficiency – demonstrate achievement) Usually high stakes for students, staff and institutions	formative - usually not graded (make students' skills and understanding visible, provide feedback and determine next steps in advancing each student's learning) Students and teachers are learners in a dialogue to improve their learning and teaching	usually formative and ungraded* (elicit students' learning and metacognitive processes = create opportunities for students to monitor and critically reflect on their learning and identify next steps) Empower students to self-regulate (metacognition) *exceptions include graded self-assessment exercises, and authentic assessment tasks which can promote the development of reflection and criticality and therefore, the ability to self-assess/ regulate and get involved in effective opportunities for peer assessment.
When	Mostly at the end of a task/ unit of work/ course	During learning (often more than once, rather than at the end)	During the learning process - students learn about themselves as learners and become aware of how they learn
How?	- Producing reports (grades) about student learning based on evidence obtained from a variety of contexts and applications (measurement, standards, regulations, criteria)	- Setting clear expectations and monitoring progress- Identifying particular needs of students or groups - Selecting and adapting materials and resources - Creating differentiated teaching strategies and learning opportunities - Providing immediate feedback and direction - Helping individual students move forward - Contributing to engagement and motivation Note: Low-weighted assessments (also described as 'low stakes' assessment), such as in-class participation, the purpose of which is primarily for feedback, is an example of the overlap between Assessment of and Assessment for Learning.	 Modelling and teaching the skills of self-assessment Guiding students in setting their own goals and monitoring progress Providing exemplars and models of good practice and quality work that reflect curriculum outcomes Working with students to develop clear criteria of good practice Guiding students in developing internal feedback or self-monitoring mechanisms to validate and question their own thinking (becoming comfortable with ambiguity and uncertainty) Providing regular and challenging opportunities to practise, so that students can become confident, competent self-assessors Monitoring students' metacognitive processes as well as their learning, and provide descriptive feedback Creating an environment where it is safe for students to take chances and where support is readily available
What for?	- Indicate each student's level of learning (achievement in relation to learning outcomes) - Communicate students' performance to key stakeholders, either comparing them to other students (norm-referenced assessment) or to competencies (criterion-referenced assessment) - Contribute to pivotal decisions that will affect students' futures	- Determine what students know and can do - Facilitate the students' understanding of what they are to learn and what is expected of them - Identify confusions, preconceptions, or gaps students m - Identify progress and needs [learning outcomes] - Determine next steps in advancing learning - Answer students' questions and requests - Provide timely, and action-oriented feedback and advice to students on how to improve their work - feed forward - Help students understand their strengths and gaps and plan their own learning	Reflect on learning tasks (usually through self and peer assessment and often against criteria and using exemplars) Reflect on learning strategies to support and challenge learning and mechanisms to adjust and advance learning Engage in and record own learning (self-reflection, self-monitoring and self-adjustment) Take responsibility for own learning and monitor future directions.

References:

- Carless, D., Salter, D., Yang, M., & Lam, J. (2011). Developing sustainable feedback practices. *Studies in Higher Education*, 36, 395-407. doi:10.1080/03075071003642449 Dann, R. (2014) Assessment as learning: blurring the boundaries of assessment and learning for theory, policy and practice, Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 21(2), 149-166, DOI: 10.1080/0969594X.2014.898128
- Deeley, S. J. (2014) Summative co-assessment: a deep learning approach to enhancing employability skills and attributes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 15(1), 39-51. Evans, C. (2013) Making sense of assessment feedback in higher education. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(1), 70–120. doi: 10.3102/0034654312474350 Lysaght Z., & O'Leary, M. (2013) An instrument to audit teachers' use of assessment for learning. Irish Educational Studies, 32(2), 217-232. doi: 10.1080/03323315.2013.784636

 National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (2017) *Expanding our Understanding of Assessment and Feedback in Irish Higher Education Forum Insights*. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.4786300
- Nicol, D. (2010) From monologue to dialogue: Improving written feedback processes in mass higher education. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35, 501–517. doi:10.1080/02602931003786559
- Sadler, D. R. (2010) Beyond feedback: Developing student capability in complex appraisal. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 35(5), 535-550.
- Sadler, D. R. (2009) Transforming holistic assessment and grading into a vehicle for complex learning. In G. Joughin (Ed.), *Assessment, learning and judgement in higher education* (pp. 1-19). Netherlands: Springer.
- Torrance, H. (2007) Assessment as learning? How the use of explicit learning objectives, assessment criteria and feedback in post-secondary education and training can come to dominate learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 14, 281–294.