



## qLegal Application Marking Criteria

### 1. Motivation

Low mark	Candidate shows minimal evidence of motivation and an understanding of qLegal. The candidate does not develop an answer to the question.
	Candidate shows some evidence of motivation and an understanding of qLegal. The candidate develops a poor answer to the question.
	Candidate shows reasonable evidence of motivation and an understanding of qLegal. The candidate develops an answer to the question with reasonable evidence of original thinking and some self-reflection.
High mark	Candidate shows clear evidence of motivation and an understanding of qLegal. The candidate develops a sophisticated and intelligent answer to the question with evidence of original thinking and self-reflection.

### 2. Teamwork

Low mark	The importance of the team having a common sense of purpose that supports the overall vision and strategy of qLegal is merely stated, as opposed to explained or, if explained, is incorrect, inappropriate or minimal.
	The importance of the team having a common sense of purpose that supports the overall vision and strategy of qLegal is correctly and appropriately explained although the explanation may be limited and the link to qLegal may be more implicit than explicit.
High mark	A thorough and detailed explanation is given of the importance of the team having a common sense of purpose and its link to the overall vision and strategy of qLegal is made explicitly clear.

### 3. Qualities

Low mark	The candidate demonstrates clear deficiencies in understanding the question; communicates qualities that are incomplete or confusing and that are of little relevance to their chosen position.
	The candidate demonstrates substantial understanding of the question and has an ability to apply qualities relevant to their chosen position. The candidate develops qualities in their answer with some justification and communicates information and ideas with reasonable clarity and fluency.

High mark	The candidate demonstrates substantial understanding of question and is well able to apply qualities relevant to their chosen position. The candidate develops qualities in their answer and provides coherent justification for them. The candidate communicates information and ideas clearly and fluently.
-----------	---

#### 4. Exceeded Expectations

Low mark	Evidence of thought with serious attempt explaining a position in which expectations were exceeded.
	Clear evidence of independent thought and an ability to explain a position in which expectations were exceeded logically and convincingly.
High mark	Clear evidence of independent and original thought, ability to explain a position in which expectations were exceeded logically and convincingly, with an example presented that is sophisticated.

#### 5. Redrafting of paragraph

Low mark	Either clear structure with a style which is less than clear and concise; or a clear and concise style with a structure which is less than clear
	Clear structure and a style which is clear and concise
High mark	Very sophisticated structure, lucid and highly fluent style which facilitates an in-depth analysis of the paragraph.

#### 6. Spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answer

Low mark	Candidates spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answers are very poor, with numerous mistakes and errors.
	Candidates spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answers are poor, with some mistakes and errors.
	Candidates spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answers are of reasonable accuracy with few mistakes and errors.
	Candidates spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answers are of sufficient accuracy with minor mistakes and errors.
High mark	Candidates spelling, punctuation, grammar, tone, sentence structure and length of answers are of almost faultless accuracy, deploying a range of grammatical constructions.