

## **Stavros Brekoulakis**

Professor in International Arbitration  
Queen Mary University of London

### **GENERAL PROFILE**

---

Stavros Brekoulakis is a Professor in International Arbitration at Queen Mary University of London and an associate member of 3 Verulam Buildings (Gray's Inn). He teaches courses in International Commercial Arbitration, International Construction Contracts and Arbitration, International Investment Arbitration, International Commercial Litigation and Conflict of Laws, International Commercial Law. His academic work includes the leading publications on *Third Parties in International Arbitration, Arbitrability*, the *ICCA-Queen Mary Report on Third Party Funding* and numerous publications in leading legal journals and reviews. He is currently working on a book on *Policies, including Public Policy in English Arbitration Law* (OUP forthcoming). He is a member of the ICC Task Force on Emergency Arbitrator, a Member of the ICC Commission on Arbitration, an assistant Rapporteur in the International Law Association Committee on International Commercial Arbitration, the General Editor of the Journal of International Dispute Settlement, the Editor-in-Chief of the (CIArb's) International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management and Co-editor of the Kluwer's International Arbitration Law Library series.

Brekoulakis has been involved in international arbitration for more than 20 years as counsel, arbitrator and expert. Having practiced commercial law, arbitration and litigation as in-house counsel and private practitioner, he currently serves as arbitrator and expert.

# CURRICULUM VITAE

## **PROFESSOR STAVROS L BREKOULAKIS**

**Address:**  
67-69 Lincoln's Inn Fields,  
London, WC2A 3JB, UK

**Telephone:** +44 (0) 20 7882 8215  
**Fax:** +44 (0) 20 7882 8100  
**E-mail:** s.brekoulakis@qmul.ac.uk

### **EMPLOYEMENT HISTORY**

---

#### **2005- Present Queen Mary, University of London**

*Professor in International Arbitration and Commercial Law*

- Teaches LL.M. courses in International Commercial Arbitration, Construction Contracts and Arbitration, International Commercial Litigation, Conflict of Laws, International Investment Arbitration, and Commercial Law
- Publishes extensively in the fields of international arbitration, private international law and commercial law
- Appears regularly at international conferences and events
- Director of Research of the Centre of Commercial Law Studies

#### **1999- 2004 Karydakis and Partners Law Offices, Piraeus, Associate**

- Appeared as counsel before Greek courts in numerous commercial cases
- Appeared as counsel in ICC, LMAA, and *ad hoc* arbitration cases

#### **1997-1999 New Wave Shipping, Piraeus, Legal counsel**

- Responsible for a variety of commercial and maritime transactions
- Worked in LMAA and *ad hoc* arbitration cases

### **ACADEMIC BACKGROUND**

---

#### **2004- 2007 Queen Mary, University of London**

Doctoral (PhD) Degree with honourable mention and no corrections

#### **2002- 2003 King's College London**

LL.M. in International Business Law with Merit (*Magna Cum Laude*)

#### **1992- 1997 National University of Athens, School of Law**

LLB (*Ptychion*), with Distinction (*Summa Cum Laude*): graduated first in his year

### **TEACHING**

---

Has taught in the last five years:

- *International Commercial Litigation and Conflict of Laws*
- *International and Comparative Commercial Arbitration*
- *International Construction Contracts and Arbitration*
- *International Commercial Law*
- *Insurance Risk in the Construction Industry*

*Evidence of teaching excellence*

- Student evaluations have been consistently excellent and have been nominated by QMUL students and staff for the Drapers' Award for Excellence in Teaching for

several years. For the current academic year, the evaluation scores *were the highest in the School*: in the question of *Whether the module was well taught*, 91.4% of the students “definitely agreed” and 8.6% of the students “agreed”.

## **PUBLICATIONS—RESEARCH**

---

Brekoulakis has been included in the QMUL submission for both REF 2008 and REF 2014 on the basis of recommendations from a number of dry runs and external reviews of his work.

His research focuses on a wide range of international arbitration and private international law topics including the role and relevance of third parties in arbitration, the role of public interest in the law and arbitration law, the legal concepts of public policy and mandatory rules, decision-making and legal reasoning in private and public justice, impartiality in decision-making, arbitration and the rule of law, constitutional aspects of arbitration, third-party funding, procedural justice and international arbitration, value diversity in international arbitration, arbitrability and scope of jurisdiction of private tribunals.

His current research projects evolve around the following areas:

- Policies, Public Policy and Public Interest in law
- Consent, Third Parties and Non-Signatories in International Arbitration
- Legal Reasoning, Decision Making and Impartiality
- Third Party Funding
- General Arbitration Scholarship

Brekoulakis is currently leading a major interdisciplinary research project on Impartiality in Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS). This is a five-year research project which employs a mix-empirical methodology (questionnaires, interviews, surveys) aimed at investigating accusations of lack of impartiality in ISDS. The primary academic outputs of the project will be a jointly authored monograph and at least four journal articles submitted to high-ranking journals. Papers on the interim and final research findings will be presented at two workshops and a final conference, and be published in an edited volume, including papers from the academics presented at the workshops and conference.

### **BOOKS**

- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission)** *Book, Policies, including Public Policy in English Arbitration Law* (OUP forthcoming). The book provides a doctrinal account and critical assessment of the policies, including public policy, underpinning English arbitration law.
- *Textbook, International Arbitration Law and Theory* (Hart forthcoming). Unlike most existing textbooks on the subject which tend to be practically oriented and aim to inform students as well as practitioners, this is the first textbook to take a theoretical approach international arbitration law.
- *Edited Book, The Evolution and Future of International Arbitration* (Kluwer 2016) 520 pages which includes contributions from all major arbitration scholars and practitioners, as well as distinguished judges, including the UK SC President Lord Neuberger. The book offers an account of the remarkable evolution of international arbitration as an academic subject and as a distinct field of practice.
- *Textbook, on International Construction Contracts and Arbitration* (Global Arbitration Review 2017).

- **(Included as part of the REF 2014 submission) *Monograph, Third Parties in International Commercial Arbitration*** (Oxford University Press, 2011) The book (**336 pages**, ISBN: 978-0-19-957208-3) is the first treatise on the subject, has already sold more than 700 copies, and has received enthusiastic reviews as being “deeply researched” and “talented, innovative and far researching” (further reviews are available at: <http://ukcatalogue.oup.com/product/9780199572083.do>). Further, the book has been cited in the highly prestigious Restatement of US Law on Arbitration. Restatements are a set of treatises produced by the American Law Institute that seek to inform judges and scholars; they are considered of exceptional academic importance and esteem, and they rarely include references to non-US scholars.
- ***Edited Book, Arbitrability: International and Comparative Perspectives*** (Kluwer 2009) 401 pages. This is the first complete study in English of this complicated subject. It has been very positively reviewed by journals in English (among which the *International Council of Commercial Arbitration* and the *International Arbitration* journal) Spanish and Japanese.

#### **ARTICLES IN REFERRED JOURNALS**

- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission), *Sole Author***, “The Historical Treatment of Arbitration under English Law and the Development of the Policy Favouring Arbitration”, *OXFORD JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES*, (2019) Volume 38, Issue 1 pp.1-28. The article examines the judicial attitude and the development of the policy of English law favouring arbitration. It suggests that, contrary to the prevailing narrative in legal literature, English judicial attitudes in the 18th and 19th centuries never reflected a hostility to arbitration. As is demonstrated, a policy favouring arbitration was introduced by the legislature as early as the end of the 17th century, and was subsequently developed by English courts deciding under statutory law and in the 19th century under the common law. The analysis offers, for the first time, an account of English arbitration as a dispute resolution system which originally emerged as being part of, rather than antagonistic to, the English courts system. Understanding how arbitration developed in England is important not only for historical purposes, but also because it can provide helpful insights into current debates surrounding the legitimacy and potential reform of English arbitration law. Dry run assessments so far have rated this article 4\*
- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission) *First Co-author***, “Public-Private Arbitration and the Public Interest under English Arbitration” Law, *MODERN LAW REVIEW*, 80(1) (2017) 22-56. The article explores the public interest implications which may arise in arbitrations involving public entities. It argues that, due to the lack of a developed administrative law sphere in England and the historical development of arbitration as an exclusively private mode of dispute resolution, the current legal framework of arbitration in England has developed around the private law paradigm of a commercial dispute involving private actors, which cannot adequately account and protect the public interest. To that effect, the article submits an original proposal for the amendment of English arbitration law. Dry run assessments so far have rated this article 4\*.
- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission), *Sole author***, “Rethinking Consent in International Commercial Arbitration: A General Theory on Non-Signatories”, *JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE SETTLEMENT*, 1(8) (2018) 1-34. The article is concerned with the role and relevance of non-signatories in international commercial arbitration. The article

challenges the efficacy and coherence of the existing arbitration law in this area, and questions whether the traditional concept of consent for arbitration can be reconciled with complex commercial reality and non-signatories today. Instead, the article submits that a general theory on non-signatories is needed, and proposes that the theoretical basis for finding that non-signatories have rights or obligations in arbitration be shifted from the concept of consent to the concept of dispute. Dry run assessments so far have rated this article 4\*.

- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission)** *Sole author*, “Public Policy And Judicial Function In English Law”. The article has been submitted for publication to a leading UK law review. Taking a historical and doctrinal approach, the article aims to first, clarify the concept of public policy in English law and the appropriate limits of judicial function, and secondly, to critique the majority decision of the SC in the *Patel* case. Dry run assessments so far have rated this article 4\*.
- **(To be considered for inclusion as part of the REF 2021 submission)** “Transnational Public Policy in International Arbitration.” The article has been submitted for publication to a leading UK law review. The article examines the legal function of transnational public policy. It challenges the predominant view in scholarship and in arbitral case law that transnational public policy is a fluid concept that accords arbitrators wide discretion to decide on the basis of non-legal standards such as “morals,” “values” or “principles of universal justice”. By contrast, it is suggested, transnational public policy is a legal doctrine which cannot include anything other than *legal* norms, in the form of either legal rules or legal principles. Dry run assessments so far have rated this article as 3\* and 4\*.
- **(Included as part of the REF 2014 submission)** *Sole author*, *International Arbitration Scholarship and the Concept of Arbitration Law*, 36 FORDHAM INTERNATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, Vol.36 (2013) pp. 745-787. This is the leading article of Volume 36 of one of the leading international law journals worldwide. The article is about the concept of arbitration law and its relationship with international arbitration scholarship. It draws on legal theory and jurisprudence to revisit the concept of arbitration law and advance the thesis that arbitration community has the normative potency to generate procedural practices and standards guiding arbitration practice.
- **(Included as part of the REF 2014 submission)** *Sole author*, *Systemic Bias and the Institution of International Arbitration: A new Approach to Arbitral Decision-Making*, JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE SETTLEMENT, 4(3) 553-585 (2013). The article examines arbitral decision-making and puts forward three main propositions. First, that the legal concept of bias needs revisiting. For arbitration law and practice to effectively respond to criticism about the integrity of arbitration, the focus of our inquiry should include not only apparent bias associated with individual arbitrators, but also implicit and systemic bias. Second, the article provides a critical assessment of the existing empirical studies on arbitral decision-making. Although empirical studies have provided useful insight in arbitral judicial behaviour, they all depart from the same behavioural assumption that arbitral decision-making is driven almost exclusively by extra-legal factors, such as the personal traits, policy preferences or financial incentives of individual arbitrators. The article discusses the theoretical and methodological limitations of such a behavioural approach, and it, finally, offers an alternative model for the analysis of arbitral decision-making, which takes into account the influence of the broader institutional context within which arbitrators are embedded. Drawing on institutional theories, the article compares the procedural design of international arbitration with that of national and

international judiciaries, and provides a description of the institutional structures of international arbitration and how they can affect the way that arbitrators decide.

- **Sole author**, *2012 International Arbitration Survey: Current and Preferred Practices in the Arbitral Process*, AMERICAN REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION (forthcoming, 2013). This is a detailed analysis of the findings of a major empirical survey on arbitral process.
- **Sole author**, “Observations on the Limits and Possibilities of Uniform Law,” 64 *Revue Hellénique de Droit International* (2011) **pp.804-839**. This is a doctrinal study on the development of uniform law in the form of trade usages, general principles of law, general principles of contract, transnational law and *lex mercatoria*
- **Sole author**, “The Relevance of the Interests of the Non-Signatories In Arbitration: Taking a Closer Look at the Elephant in the Room”, 113 *Pennsylvania State Law Review*, (Summer 2009) **pp.1165-1187**. The paper examines the role and interests of non-signatories and for the first time attempts to lay down the theoretical premises of their participation in the arbitration process
- **(Included as part of the REF 2014 Submission) Sole author**, “The Negative Effect of *Compétence-Compétence*: the Verdict has to be Negative”, 2 *Austrian Arbitration Review* (2009), **pp.237-258**. The paper explores issues relating to the conflict of jurisdiction between national courts and international arbitral tribunals. It critically assesses the overgrowing principle of *Compétence-Compétence* in arbitration, arguing that it has now developed to a legal paradox, threatening to undermine the delicate jurisdictional balance between national courts and arbitral tribunals. Has been cited extensively with approval.
- **Sole author**, “Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: Observations on the Efficiency of the Current System and the Gradual Development of Alternative Means of Enforcement”, 19 (3-4) *American Review of International Arbitration* (2008), **pp.415-446**. The paper, published in a major journal of arbitration theory, provides a qualitative analysis of the findings of empirical survey conducted by the School of International Arbitration on the Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. The paper puts forward the radical suggestion that the current legal system of enforcement of arbitral awards is waning, while commercial practice gradually develops a more effective and private means of enforcement
- **(Included as part of the REF 2008 Submission) Sole author**, “The Notion of Superiority of Arbitration Agreements over Jurisdiction Agreements: Time to Abandon It”, 24(4), *Journal of International Arbitration*, (2007) **pp.341–363**. The paper, included in the QMUL RAE 2008, undertakes a comparative analysis between jurisdiction and arbitration agreements. It shows that arbitration agreements are unwarrantedly afforded a preferential treatment over jurisdiction agreements, which, as the paper argues, threatens to overthrow the principle of procedural party autonomy. Cited in various sources, most notably in the leading textbook G.Born, *International Commercial Arbitration*
- **(Included as part of the REF 2008 Submission) Sole author**, “The Effect of an Arbitral Award and Third Parties in International Arbitration: *Res Judicata* Revisited”, 16(1), *American Review of International Arbitration*, (2006) **pp.177-209**. This is one of the first comprehensive studies of the binding effect of international arbitral awards. It was included in the QMUL RAE 2008, and it has

already been established as one of the main references on the topic, and is cited in several research works and reports, most notably in the Final Report of the International Law Association on “Res Judicata of Arbitral Awards”; also cited with approval by the US Court of Southern District of New York in the *American Express Bank v Banco Espanol De Credito* (2009); several times in the leading textbook G.Born, *International Commercial Arbitration*.

#### **CHAPTERS IN EDITED COLLECTIONS OF ESSAYS AND BOOKS**

- **Sole author**, “Transnational Public Policy in International Arbitration”, in Ortino & Schultz, *Oxford Handbook in International Arbitration* (Oxford University Press forthcoming 2018)
- **Sole author**, “Commentary on CISG Article 10” in S Kröll, L Mistelis and M del P Perales Viscasillas (eds), *The United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods* (Beck/Hart/Nomos 2011) **pp.171-181**. Commentary work on one of the most successful and widely applicable International Treaties on international contracts of sales of goods
- **Sole author**, “Conflict of Jurisdictions in Arbitration: the (diminishing) Relevance of the *Lex Loci Arbitri*”, in F. Ferrari and S. Kroell (eds), *Conflict of Laws and Arbitration*, (Sellier 2010) **pp.117-136**. The paper focuses on issues of conflict of laws in arbitration, and the importance of the law of the seat of arbitration
- **Sole author**, “The Impact of Uniform Law on Greek National Law” essay in collection edited by the International Academy of Comparative Law, *The Impact of Uniform Law on National Law* (2010) **pp.82-108**. This is the publication of a major study on the development of uniform standards of law in Greece. The study was presented, as a national report, in the Congress of the International Academy of Comparative Law in November 2008, Mexico City
- **Co-author** (with Dr L. Shore- 50% contribution) “The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration”, in *Concise Commentary on International Arbitration -Conventions, Laws and Rules* (Kluwer 2010), **pp.101-177**. Commentary work with practical focus on one of the most influential work of UNCITRAL, namely the Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. The Chapter aim to become a useful reference source for law practitioners and arbitrators
- **Sole author**, “On Arbitrability: Persisting Misconceptions and New Areas of Concern” essay in the edited collection, *Arbitrability: International and Comparative Perspectives*, (Kluwer 2009) **pp.19-45**. This is a scholar study on the material scope of arbitration, focusing on the rationale behind “in-arbitrability” and the role of public policy in particular. The paper has received very positive reviews and has been a basic reference paper on the subject
- **Sole author**, “Law Applicable to Arbitrability: Revisiting the Revisited *lex fori*”, essay in the edited collection, *Arbitrability: International and Comparative Perspectives*, (Kluwer 2009) **pp.101-121**. The aim of the paper is to revisit the scope of the application of *lex fori* to arbitrability, under new theories in arbitration. It has been cited in various sources, and it has attracted the interest of the organisers of the Verona Conference that asked for the paper to be presented there
- **Sole author**, “International Arbitration: Basic Principles and Characteristics”, in the QFINANCE Ultimate Resource (Bloomsbury 2009) **pp.44-55**. Basic commentary work on arbitration with practical focus in a major reference source
- **Sole author**, “Multiparty and Multi-contract Arbitration”, in The QFINANCE Ultimate Resource (Bloomsbury 2009) **pp.107- 111** Commentary work on arbitration in a major reference source. The Chapter focuses on practical issues arising out of multiparty and multi-contract arbitrations

## **ADMINISTRATIVE WORK (DEPARTMENT AND COLLEGE)**

---

- **CCLS Director of Research** (since September 2010) – duties include: developing and implementing a REF strategy on research outputs, research grants and environment; research impact and public engagement, consulting with the Centre’s Director on the new appointments
- **CCLS Director of Graduate Studies** (from September 2010 to September 2012) – duties include: PhD admissions, chairing Graduate Board meetings, drafting Postgraduate Studentship proposals, managing research progress of CCLS’s big cohort of PhD’s
- **Director of the Post Graduate Diploma** in International Arbitration by Distance Learning (since 2007) – duties include, being responsible for marketing and development of the programme, students’ admission, academic content.
- Member of the **CCLS Management Board** (since September 2011). The Management Board has an important consulting role to the Director in a wide range of strategic matters, including finances, programme development, public engagement, research and teaching

## **COMMISSIONS OF TRUST**

---

- 2017-Present Assistant Rapporteur, International Law Association Committee on International Commercial Arbitration
- 2017- Present Editor in Chief on the Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management
- 2014-Present Co-Chair of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration Task Force on Third Party Funding
- 2015- Present General Editor of the Journal of International Dispute Settlement.
- 2014-Present Member of the Advisory Board of the UK Private International Law Group
- 2013-Present Reviewer for the Oxford University Press, International Arbitration Monographs Series
- 2013- Present External Examiner and Assessor of the Executive Law Master Programme (LLM) of School of Law, Middlesex University, UK
- 2012- Present External Examiner and Assessor of the Executive Law Master Programme (LLM) of School of Law, London School of Economics and Political Sciences (LSE), UK
- 2010- Present Reviewed book proposals for Cambridge University Press, Hart, Rutledge and Sweet & Maxwell
- 2011-2014 Member of the International Chamber of Commerce’s Task Force on Intellectual Property Roadmap (responsible for developing policies on the resolution of Intellectual Policy disputes)
- 2010-2014 External Examiner and Assessor of the Law Master Programme (LLM) of School of Law, Bristol University, UK
- 2013 Evaluator for Leverhulme Research Programme Grants
- 2012 Evaluator for Swiss National Science Grants

## **QUALIFICATIONS / MEMBERSHIPS**

---

- Athens Bar Association
- Co-Chair of the ICCA-Queen Mary Task Force on Third-Party Funding
- Member of the ICC Commission on Arbitration
- Member of the ICC Task Force on Emergency Arbitrator
- Member of the ICC Task Force on the IP Roadmap
- Member of the International Law Association (Int’l Commercial Arbitration)

- Member of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, London
- Member of the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)
- Member of the list of arbitrators of the Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS)
- Member of the Panel of Arbitrators of the Hong Kong Int'l Arbitration Centre
- Member of the Organising Committee of the Int'l Arbitration Charity Ball
- Member of the Practical Law Arbitration Consultation Board
- Member of the Advisory Board of the UK Private International Law Group

## AWARDS AND DISTINCTIONS

---

- 2018 & 2019 Named as a Thought Leader by the *Who's Who Legal—International Arbitration*
- 2016 Runner up of the 2016 Global Arbitration Review Awards for the Best Lecture for a lecture given at the 30th Anniversary Conference of the School of International Arbitration at Queen Mary University of London on the topic of "Consent vs Commercial Reality in International Commercial Arbitration"
- 2016 & 2017 Nominated as the "*Best Prepared and Most Responsive Arbitrator*" Award of the Global Arbitration Review
- 2014 Park Prize for the best scholarly article on International Arbitration in 2014 for his article *Systemic Bias and the Institution of International Arbitration: A new Approach to Arbitral Decision-Making*
- 2006—2008 Clive M Schmitthoff, Scholarship, Queen Mary University of London
- 2002—2006 Greek State Scholarship Foundation (IKY)
- 1997 First Honorary Prize and Scholarship, University of Athens

## PRACTICING WORK

---

Brekoulakis also practices law as an arbitrator, expert and counsel from 3 Verulam Buildings (Gray's Inn). Recent work of his includes:

### As Arbitrator:

1. Chairman under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a contract for the construction of hydropower plant between a German construction company and a Romanian Employer (seat in Paris, Romanian applicable law).
2. Chairman under the Rules of *London Court of International Arbitration* in a US\$160million dispute arising out of a contract for the construction of a desalination plant in Saudi Arabia (seat in London, Saudi applicable law).
3. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *Stockholm Chamber of Commerce* concerning a construction project between a Moldavian JV and the Government of the Russia Federation (seat in Stockholm, Russian applicable law).
4. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a contract for the construction of a wastewater treatment plant (FIDIC conditions) between a Romanian party and a German party (seat in Bucharest, Romanian applicable law).
5. Chairman in *ad hoc* arbitration under the UNCITRAL Rules concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a contract for the construction of a transport and road infrastructure project (FIDIC conditions) between an Italian party and a Moldavian party (seat in Paris, Moldavian applicable law).
6. Chairman under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a supply contract between an English and a Lebanese party (seat in London, English applicable law).

7. Chairman under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce* concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a construction project between a Brazilian and a US party as Claimants, and a Brazilian party as Respondent (seat in New York, Brazilian applicable law).
8. Chairman under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multi-million dispute between a German contractor and a Romanian state entity arising out of an infrastructure project (FIDIC Rules, Romanian Law, seat in Bucharest).
9. Co-arbitrator in a consolidated case under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multimillion dispute arising out of an EPC contract and subcontract between a French, a Spanish and a German party (seat France, French applicable law).
10. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a construction for the contract for of a highway concession contract between a multi-national construction consortium and the Greek State (seat in Athens, Greek applicable law).
11. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a Settlement Agreement between a Singaporean and a Swiss party (seat in London, English applicable law).
12. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a guarantee agreement between a BVI party and a US party (seat in London, English applicable law).
13. Emergency Arbitrator under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce* concerning a dispute arising out of a Share Purchase Agreement between a Turkish party and a UAE party (seat in Istanbul, Turkish law).
14. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a finance agreement between a US and a Jordanian party (seat in London, English applicable law).
15. Chairman under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce* concerning a Share Purchase Agreement between two Greek parties (seat in Athens, Greek applicable law).
16. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *International Chamber of Commerce*, concerning a multi-million dispute arising out of a construction of a concession contract between a Greek construction company and the Greek State (seat in Athens, Greek applicable law).
17. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the London Court of International Arbitration in a multiparty dispute between a number of Kuwaiti and Swiss parties arising out of an investment agreement (seat in London, English applicable law).
18. Co-arbitrator under the *UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules*, concerning a dispute between an Irish and a Singaporean party arising out of a commodities (coal) transaction (seat in London, English applicable law).
19. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a finance agreement between an English party and a UAE party (seat in London, English applicable law).
20. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration* concerning a dispute arising out of a finance agreement between an English and a Swiss party (seat in London, English applicable law).
21. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration*, concerning a dispute arising out of a sale of goods contract between an English party and a Dutch party (seat in London, English applicable Law).
22. Sole Arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration*, concerning a dispute arising out of a sale of goods contract between a Swiss party and a US party (seat in London, English applicable law).
23. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *London Court of International Arbitration*, concerning a dispute arising out of a carbon credit project development between an Australian Bank and a German, a Chinese and a Swiss company (seat in London, English applicable law).

24. Sole Arbitrator under *UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (ad hoc arbitration)* concerning a dispute arising out of a contract for the construction of underground natural gas storage between a Polish and an Italian party (seat in Warsaw, Polish law).
25. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of *Danish Institute of Arbitration*, concerning a dispute between a Danish and a Greek party arising out of an exclusive distribution agreement (seat in Copenhagen, Danish applicable law).
26. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS)* concerning a dispute between a tennis player and the Tennis Integrity Unit.
27. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS)* concerning a dispute Kuwait football team and a footballer working for a Greek football team.
28. Co-arbitrator under the Rules of the *Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS)* concerning a dispute between two football federations.
29. Sole arbitrator under the Rules of the Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS) concerning a dispute over an agency fee.

**As Counsel:** as corporate counsel and associate in law firm has dealt with numerous ICC, *ad hoc*, LMAA arbitration proceedings in relation to disputes on sales of goods, construction of vessels, charter-party agreements, shareholder agreements and construction projects

**As Expert:** Has provided legal expert opinion in relation to the following matters:

- Validity and interpretation of an arbitration clause under Swiss Rules (in a dispute between a Turkish and a Swiss party)
- *Res judicata* effects of an ICC award (in a dispute between an Israeli and a Dutch party)
- Whether a non-signatory party is bound by an arbitration clause in *ad hoc* arbitration proceedings (dispute between an English and a Cypriot party)
- Appropriate standards of review by Greek national courts of arbitral awards in terms of public policy
- Whether an English arbitral award can be challenged by English courts for violation of the right of a party to present its case

## LANGUAGES

---

- Greek (native speaker)
- English (fluent)
- French (working knowledge)