

PRACTICE

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT REPORT

Improving MMR vaccination rates: herd immunity is a realistic goal

Philippa Cockman *general practitioner and clinical lead for immunisation*¹, Luise Dawson *public health nurse*², Rohini Mathur *research fellow*³, Sally Hull *senior clinical lecturer*³

¹St Stephen's Health Centre, London E3 5ED, UK; ²NHS Tower Hamlets, London E1 1RD; ³Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 2AT

Abstract

Problem As measles is a highly infectious disease, the United Kingdom recommendation is for at least 95% of children to receive a first vaccination with the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine before age 2 years and a booster before age 5 years to achieve herd immunity and prevent outbreaks. Reported vaccination rates for England have improved since a low level in 2003-4. Coverage for London is consistently lower than for England, however, and concerns have been expressed that there could be an epidemic of measles in the capital.

Design Observational time series study.

Setting London Borough of Tower Hamlets.

Key measurements for improvement Uptake rates for childhood vaccinations. The key target was to reach 95% coverage for the first MMR vaccine before age 2 years.

Strategies for change Financial support for the development of geographically based networks of general practices. Commissioning of care packages, incentivising delivery of high quality integrated care with network level vaccination targets of 95%. Innovative use of information technology to enable robust call and recall processes, active follow-up of defaulters, and increased knowledge about the demography of the children most difficult to reach.

Effects of change The development of networks of practices facilitated collaborative working among primary care clinicians and other stakeholders; peer review of achievements; and an element of healthy competition. Uptake improved for all childhood vaccinations, and to herd immunity levels for most. Uptake of the first MMR vaccine before age 2 years rose from 80% in September 2009 to 94% in March 2011.

Lessons learnt Achieving herd immunity for childhood vaccinations is an achievable target in an ethnically mixed, socially deprived inner city borough. The ability to identify characteristics of the difficult to reach groups, including significant differences in uptake across different ethnicities, will allow targeted interventions that may further improve overall coverage.

Abstract

Vaccination programmes are cost effective and have been shown to reduce health inequality worldwide.¹ As measles is a highly contagious disease² it requires vaccination levels to be at least 95% to maintain herd immunity and prevent outbreaks.³ These levels of vaccination will also be needed to achieve the World Health Organization's goal of elimination of measles worldwide.

The measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine was introduced in the United Kingdom in 1988 and the booster dose was added to the programme in 1996.⁴ Current recommendations are that every child should receive a first dose of MMR vaccine before the age of 2 years and a booster dose before the age of 5 years.⁴

Uptake for the first dose of MMR vaccine (MMR1) in England reached 92% in 1992, and stayed above 90% until 1998 when rates started falling, down to 79% by 2003.⁵ The decline in uptake of the MMR vaccine is thought to be caused partly by safety concerns but also, ironically, by the success of vaccination programmes leading to the impression that these childhood diseases are mild and uncommon.⁶ MMR vaccination uptake rates are now increasing in England. However, the effects of the vaccine scare in the UK that followed Andrew Wakefield and colleagues' now discredited 1998 article (which had raised the possibility of a link between MMR vaccine and autism) are still present over a decade later.⁷

Reported rates of uptake of all childhood vaccinations in London remain below those for England.⁸ Ongoing low levels of MMR vaccination in London have raised concerns of the possibility of a serious outbreak of measles in the city.⁹

The year 2008 saw the highest number of recorded confirmed cases of measles (1370) in England and Wales since the introduction of disease monitoring in 1995.¹⁰ Most of the cases were concentrated in London.¹¹ Between 2006 and 2008 the

Correspondence to: P Cockman Philippa.Cockman@nhs.net

Extra material supplied by the author (see <http://www.bmj.com/content/343/bmj.d5703/suppl/DC1>)

inner London borough of Tower Hamlets had the highest rates of confirmed measles,¹² with 24.6 cases per 100 000 (46 confirmed cases) compared with a national figure of 2 per 100 000.

The problem

The organisation and delivery of childhood immunisation programmes is complex, involving many parts of the healthcare system, including departments of public health, child health services, and information technology systems. Most of the programme in Tower Hamlets is delivered by primary healthcare staff in general practice settings.

A call and recall system improves vaccination rates.¹³ Problems with child health information systems in recent years, including the inability to be able to operate a functional call and recall system in some areas, has been detrimental to the UK vaccination programme, especially in London.¹⁴

Tower Hamlets is one of the five most socially deprived areas in England. Its ethnicity is diverse, with more than half the population being non-white, and 30% of the whole population being of Bangladeshi origin.¹⁵ Previous studies have suggested that both deprivation and large numbers of children from black and minority ethnic groups make achieving high rates of vaccination more challenging.¹⁶ High growth rate and mobility of the population in London are also cited as contributory factors to low vaccination rates.⁸

The health gain from achieving high uptake levels for all childhood vaccinations is well recognised. Improving the rates of childhood vaccination has been a long term priority for NHS Tower Hamlets and recorded rates of immunisation were already higher than those for London as a whole. However, uptake of the MMR vaccine has remained below that of other childhood vaccinations. There was particular interest within the Department of Public Health in focusing on increasing MMR vaccination rates to 95% to prevent further outbreaks of measles in the borough.

Key measures for improvement

The target was borough-wide uptake rates of 95% for all childhood vaccinations. MMR vaccination was given high priority because of the potential risk of further measles outbreaks. The level of coverage with the MMR1 vaccine before 2 years of age was actively tracked and chosen as an early marker for the success of the intervention.

Process of gathering information

We used routinely collected data from the Health Protection Agency's COVER programme (Cover Of Vaccination Evaluated Rapidly) to assess trends in annual uptake rates of the MMR vaccine for London and England.⁵

We used COVER quarterly reports to monitor trends in rates of uptake before and after the intervention in Tower Hamlets, compared with London and England.¹⁷ We used EMIS Web (www.emis-online.com/emis-web-for-gps) to collect immunisation data and other demographic data (age, sex, social deprivation, and ethnicity) from all the 36 general practice surgeries in Tower Hamlets for each child who had their second birthday during the period January to December 2010.

Analysis and interpretation

We used Stata version 10 (www.stata.com/stata10/) for all the data analysis. We used regression analysis to assess if there was significant change in uptake of the MMR1 vaccine before and after the intervention by comparing the slopes on the graphs of vaccination uptake by quarter.

The importance of recognising differences between population groups and of targeting the groups with more barriers to vaccination has been urged previously.¹⁸ Using the high levels of ethnicity recording (97%) in the population of under 5s, we did an analysis using bivariate statistics to examine differences in MMR vaccine uptake by ethnic group.¹⁹ We did logistic regression analysis to determine whether the likelihood of receiving the MMR1 vaccine differed by ethnic group after adjustment for sex and social deprivation (measured using individual level Townsend scores based on the 2001 census).

Strategy for change

NHS Tower Hamlets was part of a Department of Health Integrated Care Pilot Programme,²⁰ which promoted new ways of working together to achieve sustainable improvements in the quality of patient care. The programme has focused on the development of care packages. These are aimed at improving the management of long term conditions along with other priority areas, such as childhood immunisation, and has provided additional investment in primary care in Tower Hamlets, recognised as an under-resourced area of London.

Practices are incentivised to work in geographically based federated clusters, called networks, aligned with local authority boundaries. Each of the eight networks consists of four or five practices that work together towards achieving targets for newly commissioned network care packages. Funding for the employment of managers and coordinators has enabled networks to build an infrastructure to support collaboration between practices and with other local stakeholders, such as the local authority, children's centres, schools, and voluntary organisations.

Commissioning the immunisation care package required strong clinical and management engagement and leadership involving the director of public health, primary care trust managers, and clinical champions (including a public health nurse and a general practitioner clinical lead). These key individuals were part of a wider team promoting the importance of high levels of vaccination. Figure 1 illustrates the core and optional components of the intervention.

A small financial incentive for achieving herd immunity (95%) across all childhood immunisations was introduced for networks. This amounted to £112 000 (€130 400; \$177 700) in total, split across eight networks with 50% paid in advance, and 50% dependent on performance. This was in addition to the existing funding through the Directed Enhanced Service for childhood immunisation in England, which rewards at 70% uptake, but with a higher reward rate for 90%.

The 95% targets were for all childhood vaccines to be given within COVER timeframes, more challenging targets than those issued by the Directed Enhanced Service. For example, the MMR1 vaccine needs to be given before the age of 2 years to be counted in COVER, but the Directed Enhanced Service allows it to be given up to the third birthday. These differences were not previously well understood by practice staff. Multidisciplinary training was provided to meet this and other identified learning needs as part of the introduction to the new care package.

Robust information technology (IT) systems and good data quality formed a key part of the strategy. Coding of vaccination was standardised with the use of a template to aid data entry. With all practices using the medical software EMIS, Tower Hamlets has been an early adopter of EMIS Web. This allows centrally written searches to be used at the level of primary care trusts, networks, and general practices. Networks are able to run their own systematic call and recall programmes using centrally designed searches set for the UK immunisation programme and COVER timeframes. Defaulter lists can be produced regularly. Using EMIS Web for call and recall has removed the need to rely on child health IT systems that have not been fit for purpose in this respect.¹⁴ Using credible data direct from practices to report local vaccination figures has been important for clinician engagement.

EMIS Web also enabled centralised monitoring of immunisation, with figures produced for networks on a monthly basis. Dashboards indicated how many more children needed to be immunised to reach 95%, often illustrating the small number of additional children needed to reach the target. Peer review of practice and network figures was encouraged, along with sharing ideas for reaching groups of defaulters. An element of healthy competition has developed. Support was offered to those practices and networks that seemed to be struggling.

An active patient management approach is encouraged, taking inspiration from the success of such an approach in the Heart of Birmingham Teaching Primary Care Trust.²² This emphasises active follow-up and direct contact with defaulters, now made possible with accurate defaulter lists.

The first wave of the child health immunisation care package was introduced in September 2009 to three of the eight networks and subsequently rolled out to the other five from January 2010.

Effects of change

Rates of uptake for all childhood vaccination have increased, reaching over 95% for most childhood immunisations. Tower Hamlets now has the highest rate of all London boroughs for the MMR1 vaccination given before the age of 2 years, not quite at the target of 95% but achieving 94% in the third quarter of 2010-1.¹⁷ Figure 2J illustrates the general trends in MMR1 vaccination rates from 1988 to 2010 for England and London.

Figure 3J shows that uptake of the MMR1 vaccination in Tower Hamlets was at a plateau of about 80% from 2006 to 2009 but has risen steeply since the start of the intervention. Although rates have also increased for London and England, there seems to have been a step change in Tower Hamlets' performance after the intervention, which was introduced just before the third quarter of 2009.

Regression analysis shows that before the intervention there was a non-significant decrease of 0.07% in uptake of the MMR1 vaccine per quarter in Tower Hamlets; after the intervention, the uptake increased by 1.86% every quarter. A comparison of the two slopes indicates that they are significantly different from each other ($P < 0.001$, 95% confidence interval 1.47 to 2.24).

To explore the effect of ethnicity on immunisation, we calculated the absolute percentage of MMR1 vaccination for all children reaching their second birthday during the period January to December 2010. Figure 4J shows that the South Asian group had the highest proportion of children vaccinated within the COVER period (93.61%). Focus group work by Tower Hamlets Primary Care Trust before the intervention indicated concerns in the Somali community about the MMR vaccine.²³ Feedback from practices also suggested that Somali children were hard

to reach. When Somali children were treated as a separate ethnic group, we found that only 56% of Somali children had been vaccinated within the COVER period.

We used odds ratios to determine whether the likelihood of receiving the MMR1 vaccine differed significantly by ethnic group (see the appendix). As the South Asian group is the largest, we used this as the reference category. After adjustment for sex, social deprivation, and clustering by practice, our analysis shows that the likelihood of having received the MMR1 vaccine by age 2 years is significantly lower for all ethnic groups than for South Asian children. The likelihood of MMR1 vaccination by age 2 years is 45% lower for white children (odds ratio 0.55, 95% confidence interval 0.43 to 0.72) and 76% lower for Black African and Caribbean children (including Somali) (0.24, 0.17 to 0.33). When Somali children were treated as a separate category, the likelihood of these children receiving the MMR1 vaccine by age 2 years was 92% lower than for South Asian groups (0.08, 0.06 to 0.12).

Lessons learnt

Herd immunity is an achievable goal for all childhood vaccinations including the MMR vaccine. High levels of vaccination are achievable in ethnically diverse, deprived, and mobile inner city populations.

The experience in Tower Hamlets contrasts with previously published work on vaccination uptake in black and minority ethnic groups and areas with high levels of deprivation.¹⁶ The achievement of high levels of vaccination echoes the view of the Heart of Birmingham Teaching Primary Care Trust that good organisation is the key to success.²²

Around the time of this intervention other initiatives in England were aiming to increase vaccination rates. A national MMR "catch-up campaign" was launched in 2008, and in 2009 the Health Protection Agency and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence published guidance on ways to reduce differences in immunisation uptake.^{9 24} However, the reported figures suggest a step change in Tower Hamlets over and above the upward trends for other areas over this period.

Identifying which element of this complex intervention made the difference is difficult. However, building from a practice-wide base of consistent coding, the introduction of systematic call and recall across the practice networks is likely to have been crucial. Individual practices may have been operating their own call and recall system before this intervention, but many were not.

The practice networks encouraged clinicians and network coordinators to share experiences and find solutions to cope with a high turnover of patients in a highly mobile population. Providing the networks with regular feedback on performance led to some healthy competition, with networks seeking to improve uptake in different ways. For example, in some networks, call and recall was at network level, in others it was at practice level. A range of methods to follow up defaulters was developed, such as telephone or text reminders, involving health visitors, children's centres, and schools. Some networks held immunisation events; some arranged home visits for the hard to reach.

Next steps

A national debate is needed to decide whether the Directed Enhanced Service for childhood immunisation in England should be brought in line with COVER's timeframes to ensure greater shared understanding of the data on immunisation uptake. It

seems sensible for public health and primary care to be using the same variables to measure vaccination uptake rates.

Using ethnicity data to identify subgroups within the childhood population that may need further help with vaccination uptake illustrates the value of ethnicity recording at practice level.¹⁹ In Tower Hamlets, focus group work with Somali parents suggests that fear of autism has played a part in their concerns over the safety of MMR vaccination.^{21 23} Some evidence suggests an increased prevalence of autism in the Somali population,^{25 26} and this may have heightened concerns. Clinicians within Tower Hamlets suggest that some Somali parents delay the MMR vaccination rather than decline altogether. Understanding the reasons for higher rates of vaccination in the South Asian population may provide further ideas for improving rates nationally.

How sustainable is the improved performance? The fact that this intervention was not a one-off, catch-up campaign, but rather a long term programme investing in primary care teams, infrastructure, collaborative working, and the embedding of systematic processes may mean that improvements will be sustainable. Ongoing monitoring suggests this is the case, and Tower Hamlets now has the highest rates of immunisation across the whole of London for all childhood immunisations, including MMR vaccination.¹⁷

Contributors: PC, LD, and SH had the original idea for the paper. RM provided the analysis, interpretation, and presentation of the data. PC wrote the first and final drafts and is guarantor. SH acted as coordinator and editor.

Funding: None.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

1 Andre FE, Booy R, Bock HL, Clemens J, Datta SK, John TJ, et al. Vaccination greatly reduces disease, disability, death and inequity worldwide. *Bull World Health Organ* 2006;86(2).

- 2 World Health Organisation. Measles fact sheet. 2009. www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs286/en/#.
- 3 Berger A. How does herd immunity work? [Science commentary.] *BMJ* 1999;319:1466-7.
- 4 Department of Health. Measles. Immunisation against infectious diseases. The Green Book. 2007. www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_079917
- 5 Health Protection Agency. Annual vaccine coverage statistics for England. www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/HPAweb_C/1195733783627.
- 6 Brown KF, Kroll JS, Hudson MJ, Ramsay M, Green J, Long SJ, et al. Factors underlying parental decisions about combination childhood vaccinations including MMR: a systematic review. *Vaccine* 2010;28:4235-48.
- 7 Godlee F, Smith J, Marcovitch H. Wakefield's article linking MMR vaccine and autism was fraudulent. *BMJ* 2011;342:c7452.
- 8 London Assembly. Still missing the point? Infant immunisation in London. 2007. http://legacy.london.gov.uk/assembly/reports/health/infant_immunisation_followup.pdf.
- 9 Health Protection Agency. Action to reduce risk of measles epidemic. 2008. www.hpa.org.uk/NewsCentre/NationalPressReleases/2008PressReleases/080807mnr/.
- 10 Health Protection Agency. Confirmed cases of measles, mumps and rubella 1996-2010. www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/HPAweb_C/1195733833790.
- 11 Heathcock R, Watts C. Measles outbreaks in London, United Kingdom—a preliminary report. *Euro Surveill* 2008;13(15).
- 12 Health Protection Agency. Tower Hamlets. www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAweb&Page&HPAwebAutoListDate/Page/1278943918858.
- 13 Jacobson Vann J, Szilagyi P. Patient reminder and recall systems to improve immunization rates. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;3:CD003941.
- 14 Crowcroft N. Action on immunisation: no data, no action. *Arch Dis Child* 2009;94:829-30.
- 15 Tower Hamlets Council. Ethnicity. www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgsi/901-950/916_borough_statistics/ethnicity.aspx.
- 16 Gardner B, Davies A, McAteer J, Michie S. How can MMR uptake be increased? A literature review and intervention feasibility study. University College London, 2008. www.londonhpa.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/UCHL-How-can-MMR-uptake-be-increased-report.pdf.
- 17 Health Protection Agency. Vaccine coverage and COVER (Cover of Vaccination Evaluated Rapidly). 2011. www.hpa.org.uk/Topics/InfectiousDiseases/InfectionsAZ/VaccineCoverageAndCOVER/.
- 18 McIntyre P, Leask J. Improving uptake of MMR vaccine. *BMJ* 2008;336:729-30.
- 19 Hull S, Mathur R, Badrick R, Robson J, Boomla K. Recording ethnicity in primary care: assessing the methods and impact. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:290-4.
- 20 Department of Health. Integrated care pilots: An introductory guide. 2009. www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_106206.pdf.
- 21 Bhatti N, Bennett-Richards P, Joels C, Digali S, Baker C, Ley C, et al, eds. General practice and the Somali community—working together on childhood immunisations. Diversity Conference. 2011. University of Bradford. www.brad.ac.uk/health/media/HealthMedia/files/CHD/Abstract_for_website_200511.pdf.
- 22 Nelson J. Working smarter—improving performance. [Rapid response.] www.bmj.com/content/332/7553/1312/reply.
- 23 Schickler P, Eversley J. Identifying the barriers preventing parents getting their children immunised. 2010. www.whfs.org.uk/pdf/whfs_immunisation.pdf.
- 24 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Reducing differences in the uptake of immunisations (including targeted vaccines) among children and young people aged under 19 years. (Public health guidance 21.) 2009. www.nice.org.uk/PH21.
- 25 Minnesota Department of Health. Autism and the Somali community—report of a study. 2009. www.health.state.mn.us/ommh/projects/autism/reports090331.cfm.
- 26 Barnevik-Olsson M, Gillberg C, Fernell E. Prevalence of autism in children of Somali origin living in Stockholm: brief report of an at-risk population. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2010;52:1167-8.

Accepted: 20 August 2011

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2011;343:d5703

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2011

The experience of one Tower Hamlets practice

Initially our practice was sceptical about improving our MMR immunisation rate from its regular 80% position. We already sent out monthly recall letters and worked with our health visitors. So what could we do differently?

The practice immunisation team (practice nurse, general practitioner, and administrator) met weekly with the network coordinator to really understand the COVER timeframe. Ordering children for recall by their birth date helped us understand the number of children we had to contact this week, this month, next month. All general practitioners helped to clean the practice list of families who had moved, checking with health visitors and schools if necessary. The practice administrator chivvied receptionists to send reminders (via phone or SMS texts) for all clinic appointments. Every person who failed to attend an appointment was contacted directly after the clinic by phone and given another appointment. We agreed that children could be vaccinated at any time, not just during baby clinic sessions.

After three months of agonised fumbling, and many tense meetings, the system clicked into place and results began to improve towards 95% for all immunisations. Now that the process is working smoothly, the vaccination system is no more costly to run than before, and brief fortnightly meetings maintain a light touch on a slick system.

For us the key to change was systematic support from the network with practice tailored recall lists, and a clean registered patient list. Alongside this was a laudable public health target and a small financial stimulus. We are confident this is an improvement we can maintain.

Sally Hull, general practitioner

Figures




Fig 1 Components of the immunisation intervention in Tower Hamlets: core components plus additional activities




Fig 2 Annual uptake of MMR1 for England and London 1988-2010 (note that the y axis effectively starts at 60). Data source: Health Protection Agency⁵





Fig 3 Quarterly uptake (shown as Q1-4) of the MMR1 vaccine for Tower Hamlets 2006-10 compared with London and England (the number of children aged under 2 years in Tower Hamlets, December 2010, was 8188). Data source: Health Protection Agency's quarterly COVER data where Q1 is April-June (www.hpa.org.uk/Topics/InfectiousDiseases/InfectionsAZ/VaccineCoverageAndCOVER/EpidemiologicalData/VaccineCoverageDataTables/)



* Excluding Somali

Fig 4 Crude proportion of children receiving the MMR1 vaccine within the COVER period, by ethnicity