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1 Introduction

The financial sector is undergoing fundamental change. Growing regulatory requirements and the ongoing phase of low interest rates are eroding the proven business model of German banks. Additional capital requirements and a branch network that still covers the entire country are putting pressure on bank operating costs. Market penetration by new players with innovative financial solutions (FinTechs and TechFins) is leading to increased competitive pressure on established banks. Traditional branch operations are losing importance in the digital age (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2017a). In the competition for private customers, price wars, with free accounts and high currency exchange premiums, have nearly become an industry standard (Kinting and Wißmann 2016). This development is also reflected by consolidation within the German banking system. The number of credit institutions in Germany fell from 2128 to 1717 in the period 2009–2019, while the number of bank branches fell from 41,009 to 28,384 over the same period (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2020). Every year, about 40 small banks disappear from the market, mostly through mergers. The majority of bank closures affect the mutual savings bank sector (Oliver Wyman 2018).

In this dynamic market environment and amid changing customer expectations, building long-term, profitable client–bank relationships is proving to be increasingly challenging. Every other bank customer already has a checking account with a competitor in addition to a main account at their first bank (Dziggel et al. 2018). What customers expect from a financial services provider today is demonstrated by the fact that around 57% of private customers are no longer prepared to pay for account services (Norisbank 2017). This means that for more than one in two customers, a free account is a decisive criterion for choosing a bank. Many customers also demand a broad ATM network (37%) and online services (35%). By contrast, only around 12% of those surveyed consider personal expert advice to be important. If customer expectations are not met, switching banks is easier today than in the past. The statutory account switching service in Germany enables consumers to choose a new credit institution at a manageable cost (Kunz 2016).

This situation just described has led to declining customer loyalty in the retail business of many banks. A consequence is the increased willingness of customers to change banks (Bain & Company 2012). Around 30% of all bank customers can imagine switching away from their current bank in the future (Dziggel et al. 2018), so even intensive customer retention efforts cannot completely prevent customer churn. More than ever before, bank customers who were once bound to a provider or a brand are pursuing the (economic) optimisation of their contracts,
products or services. To this end, they are continuously using the possibilities of the Internet, for example, to find banking products with better prices or quality via comparison platforms (Pick 2017).

If banks remain inactive in this new competitive environment, they could lose approximately 30%–40% of their revenues through customer churn and margin erosion (Drummer et al. 2016). Customer termination of the business relationship is usually associated with negative effects on sales and earnings and always results in a deterioration in market position. To compensate for loss of revenue and market share, banks primarily acquire new customers who lack experience with the products and services offered and whose potential purchasing behaviour is relatively unknown. Due to the lack of experience and the often necessary use of monetary incentives, acquisition measures are all the more lengthy and cost-intensive. An alternative strategy that has been largely neglected up to now is attempting to win back migrated customers who already have experience and a purchasing history (Neu & Günter 2015).

Strategic measures to win back customers, also known as customer recovery, have so far received little attention in the retail banking literature. There is little research on customer recovery in the banking sector, and most of it dates back to the first decade of this century (e.g. Bruhn & Michalski 2001; Sieben 2002; Michalski 2002). In banking practice, too, customer recovery management has not yet reached a very advanced stage of development, although the prerequisites are basically given due to the contractual business relationship, continuous interaction and extensive customer data. There is a lack of comprehensive, professional implementation. In order to develop concrete win-back initiatives, sound knowledge of the reasons for customer churn is necessary. However, less than half of banks have detailed information on reasons for churn. Strategic concepts for customer win-back management with central measures and regulated responsibilities are the exception so far (Bruhn & Michalski 2001). Compared with the management of prospective customers and customer loyalty, recovery management is underrepresented. This raises the question of whether credit institutions should consider investments in win-back initiatives. However, before the active and professional recovery of migrated private customers can start, the credit institution must implement a systematic customer recovery process. Against the background of required investments, the question arises as to the chances of success for customer recovery management in retail banking.

The aims of this paper are twofold. First, we classify customer recovery management in a sector-specific manner for retail banking. Second, we provide indications for strategic decisions on the organizational implementation of customer recovery management. To this end, the
rationality of resuming a previous business relationship is examined from the perspective of former bank customers. This study therefore evaluates for the first time, empirically and systematically with reference to the German banking market, whether lost customers have a sufficient general willingness to resume (GWR) a retail banking relationship. Our study thus initiates an analysis of a potentially beneficial shift from the strict prioritization of new customer acquisition to the implementation of comprehensive customer recovery management. However, customer win-back initiatives can be successfully implemented only when customers exhibit a sufficient GWR.

We derive three research questions from this discussion:

1. For what reasons do retail customers terminate their retail banking business relationships, and can banks have an influence on those factors as a way to win back customers?
2. How pronounced is the willingness of migrated private customers to resume the previous business relationship, and what role do differences in personal characteristics play?
3. How do selected factors influence the willingness to resume a customer–bank relationship?

In order to answer these research questions, it is assumed on the one hand that the chances for successful recovery vary from customer to customer and depend on the respective reasons for churn. On the other hand, it is assumed that certain factors determine the willingness to resume the previous business relationship. This paper focuses on the analysis of the reasons for churn and GWR as an indicator for the chances of success of a management process to win back customers.

Our paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the conceptual principles of customer recovery management and relates them to retail banking. The research hypotheses are then derived based on concrete research questions against the background of existing literature. Section 3 explains the data and methodology of the empirical study. Section 4 presents the results and their interpretation. Section 5 concludes with a summary of the main findings.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 The Current Situation in Retail Banking

The retail banking activities of credit institutions in Germany are currently characterized by a highly dynamic phase of ongoing change in existing market structures (Oliver Wyman 2018).
In addition to merger-related churn, the financial sector is witnessing a general decline in customer loyalty in its retail business. The majority of bank customers would not recommend their current bank to friends. However, a view of individual groups of institutions reveals significant differences. While large institutions, savings banks and cooperative banks are losing popularity, loyalty has increased towards direct banks (Bain & Company 2012). At the same time, FinTechs as well as direct banks without branch networks benefit from both cost efficiency and cost transparency compared with the established retail banks. Automated and IT-supported processes can reduce costs, especially for financial products that do not require explanation, and pass the remaining costs on to consumers (Niehage 2016).

This dynamic development is triggering a change in the characteristics and behaviour of bank customers. Technological developments combined with homogeneity of the essential components of banking products have led to increasing market transparency (Dinh & Greve 2010) and higher price sensitivity of customers (Dziggel et al. 2018). In addition, customer demands in terms of speed and convenience are increasing. If customers do not get what they want, they seize the opportunity to change banks much more quickly than before (Kinting and Wißmann 2016). One-third of Germans have already changed their bank (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2017b), and approximately 30% of all bank customers no longer rule out a change of their primary banking relationship in the near future (Dziggel et al. 2018). On average, bank customers have already chosen another credit institution 1.73 times. The frequency of changing banks is thus only slightly below that of changing telecommunications providers, where the provider has been changed an average of 1.98 times (Pick 2017). Presently, just under 40% of all bank customers conduct their banking business at exclusively one credit institution. Additional secondary bank facilities now account for about 40% of customer value.\(^1\) If a partial churn gradually leads to complete termination of the business relationship, the value of the banking relationship will erode to almost zero in the long term. The economic damage to the industry is projected to be around 8 to 10 billion euros over the next five years (Dziggel et al. 2018). Regulatory frameworks further facilitate the decision to migrate. Up to now, written notice of termination has been the dominant channel for terminating the business relationship (Pick 2017). The legal obligation of credit institutions to assist in switching accounts (§§ 20 ff. ZKG\(^2\)) and Payment Service Directive II, which has been in force since 2016, have further

\(^1\) Customer value per person is between 750 and 850 euros per year (Dziggel et al. 2018).

\(^2\) Act on the Comparability of Payment Account Charges, the Switching of Payment Accounts and Access to Payment Accounts with Basic Functions (Payment Accounts Act - ZKG).
reduced the barriers to changing banks. The switching process has become much easier through a reduction in the bureaucratic and financial burdens on customers. (Kunz 2016; Dziggel et al. 2018).

A representative study by the Bundesverband deutscher Banken (2017b) revealed that the main reasons for bank customers to enter into a business relationship with another financial services provider are the pricing of an account (31%) and dissatisfaction with the service (33%). Although the overall level of relationship satisfaction is high, with a score of 84% (28% are ‘very satisfied’ and 56% are ‘satisfied’), dissatisfaction with individual product or service features will lead to churn. This finding is confirmed when considering the different levels of satisfaction at respective credit institutions. Enthusiastic customers are predominantly found at direct banks. Especially, credit institutions offering free account management achieve the highest satisfaction values and exceed customer expectations. Two out of three customers would most likely recommend their direct bank to others (Lindenau 2017).

However, the level of customer satisfaction on its own is not a sufficient indicator of customer churn. The desire for variety – referred to in the literature on behavioural theory as ‘variety-seeking’ – can also lead to churn (Bruhn and Boenigk 2017). There is empirical evidence that a change of products, services or suppliers alone can benefit the consumer. This benefit is not related to churn due to dissatisfaction. Accordingly, the underlying motivation for the pursuit of variety is not influenced by the brand or the new provider (Helmig 1997; Peter 1999). In retail banking, the increasing importance of variety-seeking can be seen in the growing number of secondary bank connections.

An additional reason for customer churn is change of residence, which is of great importance especially for regional credit institutions with geographically limited business activities. For nearly one-third of customers who have already changed their bank at least once, relocation was the decisive reason for the change (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2017b). If the change of residence exceeds a certain distance, the customer often changes to a bank located in the new place of residence. At the same time, any attempt to prevent churn is de facto limited if the bank follows the regional principle that restricts business activities outside a certain region (Riekeberg 1995).

In an investigation of the financial services sector, Michalski (2002) reported a fundamental willingness by former bank customers to resume an earlier business relationship. Michalski also noted a positive time-lag effect and found that the willingness to return increases over time.
This is due to a changed situation or a reassessment of the reason for churn, which makes a return to the former provider appear more attractive. Sieben (2002) examined the factors influencing recovery success in the banking sector. The process of winning back customers and the personal interaction between customer and bank are decisive for successful customer recovery.

Despite the lack of holistic strategic concepts, few banks implement individual measures to reactivate lost bank customers. For the few banks that know the exact number of reactivated customers, recovery rates vary between 1% and 10% (Bruhn and Michalski 2001). Sieben (2002) confirms this statement in his empirical study and finds a rate of recovery of former bank customers of about 10% per year. The study also analyses the profitability of the measures used and their cost–benefit ratio. Overall, recovery costs in the financial services sector amount to 74 euros per successful recovery on average. Of this, the cost of personnel accounts for 75%. In relation to efforts to acquire new customers, the costs are lower by a factor of 3.8 (Sieben 2002). However, in practice, most credit institutions do not have any effectiveness controls in place. Less than a quarter of banks regularly monitor the success of such measures once implemented (Bruhn & Michalski 2001). The few financial services institutions that have information report a return on customer recovery of 41%. This puts banking well behind other industries, such as the automotive and retail sectors, which report returns of 102% and 60%, respectively (Homburg & Schäfer 1999).

2.2. Customer Recovery Management in Retail Banking

The business relationship between customer and bank is a dyadic, membership-like service relationship. A contractual business relationship exists between the customer and the bank. The bank provides a service that is usually available on a continuous basis (Adler 2003). The duration of the customer relationship is positively related to profitability. The longer a customer receives the company's services, the greater the customer value (Neu & Günter 2015). As the term of the business relationship increases, sales increase and cross-selling and upselling potentials can be exploited. At the same time, the price sensitivity of the customer lessens. With churn, these advantages of the business relationship are lost (Homburg et al. 2003). Due to the intangible nature of the services, trust-related aspects are the primary factors that determine the purchasing decisions of bank customers. Trust is created by experiences with repeated personal interaction or from a constant quality of service and existing customer satisfaction over a long period of time (Bruhn 2016). Since building experience and trust is time-consuming (Bruhn
2016) and acquiring new customers is relatively costly (Sieben 2002), credit institutions in retail banking should also consider customer recovery management initiatives (Bruhn & Michalski 2001). A successful recovery avoids the acquisition cost to replace a former customer (Homburg et al. 2003; Neu & Günter 2015).

In a holistic view, customer recovery management includes both preventive measures to stop current customers from leaving and ex post measures to win back former customers (Bruhn & Michalski 2001). In recent years – mainly in German-speaking research – this has been understood as a management process for systematic customer recovery (Büttgen 2003). Customer recovery management can therefore be described as a cybernetic process consisting of planning, steering and control of all the measures and processes of a company for early identification and prevention of imminent customer churn as well as for winning back migrated customers – always taking profitability aspects into account (Seidl 2009).

In addition, the indirect, qualitative objectives of preventive information gathering and damage minimisation must be considered. An intensive survey and analysis of the reasons for the loss of customers provides valuable information about a bank’s operational performance weaknesses. Active measures to identify the causes of customer churn are an important basis for customer-oriented improvement processes and can prevent future churn (Büttgen 2003). Thus, preventive information gathering is an important aspect of customer recovery management. Negative word of mouth from dissatisfied customers who migrate can cause additional turnover losses. A successful win-back can support the goal of minimising market damage. If this does not succeed, an attempt must be made to end the lost customer relationship and minimise negative communication (Sauerbrey & Henning 2000). However, against the background of necessary investments, implementing recovery activities makes sense only if the chances of successful recovery are high and the renewed customer relationship will be profitable in the future. The probability of success depends on, among other things, the reasons for customer churn, which must be analysed (Seidl 2009).

A prerequisite for the implementation of a successful customer recovery management strategy is the execution of a churn analysis. This helps in understanding the scope of and reasons why customers leave an existing business relationship with their bank (Sieben 2002). Banks should carry out a differentiated identification for each individual customer regardless or whether the entire business relationship or only certain services have been terminated. Once lost customers and the extent of churn have been identified, professional customer recovery management must determine the reason why the churn occurred (Homburg et al. 2003). The
aim of this analysis is to categorise the former customers with regard to the reason for churn (Stauss 2000). The underlying causes of customer loss can be used to derive key findings for churn prevention and the probability of recovery. On the one hand, the information gained serves to avoid future errors and optimise services (Büttgen 2003) to create a basis for a sustainable customer recovery management (Homburg et al. 2003). On the other hand, the reasons for churn can be systematised according to the degree to which they can be influenced, thereby providing information on the probability of recovering a former customer. Consequently, the bank can determine which customer losses are basically avoidable and can segment and evaluate migrated customers (Neu & Günter 2015).

In order to increase profitability, it is advisable to divide up lost customers according to the expected return in case of recovery. This is the product of customer value and the probability of recovery. The latter is related to the reason for churn. The greater the bank's ability to influence the reason for churn, the greater the chance of winning back the customer (Homburg et al. 2003). The individual customer value of a business relationship, on the other hand, serves as the starting point for the preselection of customers. The basic goal is the elimination of unprofitable customer relationships (Stauss 2000). When assessing how valuable a customer is to the bank, economic measures (e.g. turnover or contribution margin) and further indicators (e.g. cross-selling potential or recommendation potential) should be taken into account. The segmentation of lost customers according to the expected return in case of recovery enables a specific recovery management design.

2.3 Determinants of Customer Recovery Management in Retail Banking

Strategic triangle

With regard to retail banking, we use the so-called strategic triangle to systematise reasons for churn. The triangle consists of the three dimensions – customer, competition and company – and thus systematises the reasons for churn into customer-, competition- and company-related factors (Michalski 2002; Pick 2017).

Company-related or ‘pushed-away’ reasons refer to the product and service range of the previous company and the dissatisfaction associated with it. From the customer's perspective, there are deficiencies in the company's performance. In addition to product quality and price levels, these also relate to employee behaviour, service and advisory, complaint management and regional gaps. In addition to rational reasons such as unsatisfactory conditions, factors such
as perceived incompetence or unfriendliness also play a role (Dinh & Greve 2010). The knowledge of these reasons can help to eliminate existing deficits and serve to identify customer-specific compensation services for pushed-away customers.

Competition-related (‘pulled-away’) reasons refer to customer switching due to attractive communication by the competition or due to competitors actively enticing customers away. From the customer's point of view, the competitor's services are more attractive in terms of quality or price. Creating an awareness of these reasons can contribute significantly to the optimisation of competitive position.

Finally, customer-related (‘broken-away’) reasons, such as marriage, divorce or change of job, can also lead to a decision to leave. Other examples of changes in a customer’s private or professional life include death, change of residence or loss of demand. In addition, psychological motives, such as variety-seeking, can lead to churn. Information about the customer-related reasons for churn helps to prevent hopeless customer win-back initiatives (Sauerbrey & Henning 2000; Michalski 2002; Büttgen 2003).

Bruhn and Michalski (2001) performed a survey of the three reasons for churn according to the strategic triangle in an exploratory study of banks. In the category of company-related churn, the change of a personal contact was found by the surveyed banks to be the central reason for churn. In second place comes dissatisfaction with price along with product deficiencies. Problems in internal processes or service are also important. Location gaps, in contrast, are among the least important reasons for churn. The competition-related reasons are dominated by the activities of competitors who directly entice bank customers. As a new competitive factor that has been added in recent years, the activity of FinTechs is also playing an increasing role. Through innovative banking services, they motivate traditional bank customers to change (Pick 2017). In the category of customer-related churn decisions, in addition to death as a natural reason for terminating a business relationship, change of residence plays a particularly important role. Variety-seeking is of only minor importance for churn (Bruhn & Michalski 2001).

Michalski (2002) examined the relationship between company-, competition- and customer-related reasons for churn from the point of view of bank customers. Here, too, the company-related reasons were the most important factor in the decision to migrate, accounting for 52.5% of mentions. It is in this category that customer dissatisfaction is highest. Negative experiences

---

3 Variety-seeking can be activated by the activities of competitors (Sauerbrey & Henning 2000, 23). However, since the extent of the desire for variety is strongly dependent on the personality of a customer, an assignment to the customer-related reasons for churn is made (Spiecker & Stauss 2014).
in customer contact dominate here and affect the interaction between employees and customers. A bank's terms and conditions are also highly relevant for a churn decision. For 28.0% of the sample, customer-related motives are a reason to terminate the business relationship with the bank. Causes in this category mainly concern reorganization of existing accounts, e.g. due to marriage or a change of residence. At 19.5%, competition-related reasons are of subordinate importance. Churn in this category is primarily due to more attractive conditions offered by competitors. In particular, the free account management of other banks is an important reason for changing providers (Michalski 2002). The level of banking fees was already one of the most frequently cited reasons for changing banks in a 1995 study by Zollner (1995), and today it is still one of the most important reasons for termination.

Past empirical studies show that in retail banking, company-related reasons are the main cause of customer churn from the perspective of both the banks and the customers. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis:

\[ H1: \text{Bank customers are more likely to migrate for company-related reasons than for competition and customer-related reasons.} \]

Willingness to resume

The existence of a willingness to resume is the starting point for any strategic customer recovery (Pick 2008). Customer recovery management can be successful only if former customers are generally motivated to actually resume a terminated business relationship (Pick & Kraft 2009). A seminal preparatory work for the concept of willingness to resume is the study by Bendapudi and Berry (1997). They cluster influencing factors for maintaining a relationship based on compulsion or desire into four categories: environment, company, customer and transaction (Bendapudi and Berry 1997). Rutsatz (2004) uses the approach of Bendapudi and Berry (1997) in customer loyalty management as a basis for the investigation of a trust- and desire-based resumption of the relationship with the previous provider. According to Rutsatz (2004), customer motivators for a return include satisfaction with the former provider and evaluation of market alternatives. On a conceptual level, Rutsatz (2004) distinguishes between general and specific willingness to resume. This differentiation is adopted by Pick (2008) in an empirical study on the resumption of contractual business relations in order to establish for the first time a definition and conceptualisation of the various terms. Pick (2008) examines the willingness
to resume a contractual business relationship using the example of a transport service provider and a publishing house. GWR is defined as the customer’s unconditional readiness, independent from corporate activities, to resume a contractual business relationship with a previous provider (Pick 2008) and can be interpreted as a fundamentally positive attitude of a customer towards the previous provider (Pick 2008). Conditional or specific willingness to resume, in contrast, depends on concrete company activities and reflects the customer's expectations of measures to be taken by the company to win back the customer (Pick 2008). Special offers should be used since customers who have migrated – despite a general willingness to return – usually do not return on their own and have certain expectations of win-back initiatives. Conditional willingness to resume is thus the final driver for the actual return of migrated customers and requires the existence of a GWR (Pick & Krafft 2009).

GWR is in line with the theory of cognitive dissonance. According to the theory of dissonance (Festinger 2012), individuals generally evaluate the decisions they have made as well as their implementation. The decision to resume a previous business relationship is made on a customer-specific and rational basis by weighing the advantages and disadvantages. As people strive for consistency in their decisions, intentions and behaviour, GWR can be linked to the actual activities in the context of the resumption. Pick et al. (2016) show a statistically significant positive influence of GWR on actual recovery as well as on the duration of the second customer relationship. This means that actual win-back activities are not the only trigger for resumption. The conclusion is that companies should first understand the general willingness of their former customers better before making concrete recovery offers.

In practice, there is considerable interest in allocative control of recovery measures. Special activities should only be implemented when customers are sufficiently willing to resume. Companies are interested in finding out how certain customer characteristics and the nature of the previous business relationship influence willingness to resume (Rutsatz 2004). In the field of retail banking, however, there is little research to date on determinants of former customers' willingness to return.

Desire for variety

The behaviouristic construct of variety-seeking is a customer-related determinant of GWR. A behavioural explanation for the search or desire for variety can be found in the theory of cognitive dissonance (Peter 1999). If an individual is looking for new experiences or a feeling
of saturation in the existing business relationship, this can cause a cognitive imbalance through perceived dissonance. Subsequently, reduction measures are taken to restore the inner balance. Consequences may include not only migrating from the existing business relationship but also the resumption of a previous business relationship (Pick 2008). Pick (2008) assumes that these customers, too, may in principle consider returning to the former provider, especially after a longer period since termination. As a possible cause, she cites innovative products or services that were established with the former provider during the absence and could encourage the former customer to return.

Adler (2003) considers different problem-solving needs in the case of continuous bank services as a starting point for the pursuit of variety. Customers of a bank may have several accounts at different credit institutions that satisfy different banking needs, such as processing of payment transactions, securities trading or long-term financing. Homburg and Giering (2001) refer to a product-related, intrinsically motivated search for variety and detect a negative effect on customer satisfaction. This reinforces the assumption in the literature that customers change suppliers only because of a desire for variety regardless of their satisfaction with the product. Sieben (2002) notes that customer desire for variety in the banking segment has a strongly negative, significant influence on actual recovery success. However, an analysis of the effect of variety-seeking on GWR has not yet been undertaken. Based on findings to date on increased willingness to change banks or the increasing number of secondary bank connections, we assume that bank customers have a high need for variety and there is a negative correlation between variety-seeking and GWR. Conclusively, we formulate the following hypothesis:

\[ H2: \text{The greater the desire for variety, the lower the GWR}. \]

Relationship satisfaction

Satisfaction with the previous business relationship is a company-related determinant of the GWR for the business relationship. Due to the high relationship satisfaction of bank customers, we can assume a connection with GWR. The satisfaction can be defined as the result of a cognitive comparison (Homburg & Stock-Homburg 2016). If a past-related satisfaction rating is positive, the chance that customers will be confronted with cognitive dissonance is lower. They do not have the feeling that they have accepted a suboptimal offer. By maximising customer satisfaction and thus avoiding dissonance, the risk of churn can be reduced and a positive effect
on customer retention and loyalty can be achieved (Töpfer & Mann 2008). With former customers, there is a chance that dissonances can be found. It is possible that the dominant reason for churn is perceived as irrelevant after a certain period of time (e.g. change in private life situation). The decision to terminate the contract is then re-evaluated and, if necessary, revised to reduce any dissonances that arise after the churn. The satisfaction with the former business relationship has a positive influence on the dissonance reduction by resuming the former business relationship (Pick 2008).

In the financial services industry, the customer–bank relationship is of a long-term nature. The assessment is based on a comparison of the expected performance from the business relationship and the actual experience with the bank and its products. The result is a satisfaction rating for the customer–bank relationship (Eickbusch 2002). Lohmann (1997) notes in a study of customer–bank relationships that satisfaction with banking services makes the greatest contribution to explaining customer loyalty in the financial services sector. According to Michalski (2002) lost customers also differentiate between the comprehensive satisfaction on the relationship level and the various partial satisfactions with individual products and services. This separation leads to different assessments of the degree of satisfaction. Based on the understanding that bank customers usually have several reasons for churn (Keaveney 1995; Michalski 2002) it seems reasonable to consider the concept of relationship satisfaction as cumulative satisfaction of the customer with the entire previous business relationship.

While Michalski (2002) finds no significant correlation between customer satisfaction and willingness to resume in the banking sector, Sieben (2002), Homburg et al. (2007) and Pick (2008) confirm for other industries a significantly positive influence of the previous satisfaction on the success of win-back initiatives and the GWR. We therefore hypothesize that satisfaction with the previous business relationship and the GWR are positively related:

\[ H3: \quad \text{The higher the satisfaction with the previous business relationship, the higher the GWR.} \]

Attractiveness of alternatives

A competition-related determinant is the attractiveness of the alternatives. According to dissonance theory, the availability and perceived attractiveness of alternative options influence the extent of dissonance. The higher the customer accesses the attractiveness of the alternatives,
the greater the cognitive dissonance (Festinger 2012). If it is not possible to cognitively justify the existing business relationship by changing one’s attitudes, a dissonance may only be dissolved by a change in provider (Pick 2008). For this purpose, the current business relationship is evaluated by the customer and serves as a benchmark to assess the benefits of potential alternatives. If the customer feels better or at least not worse off by switching to an alternative provider, the intention to migrate is created. If, in contrast, there are no better alternatives on the market, there is a certain dependency on the current supplier (Henseler 2006, 55–56). Additionally, an unfavourable cost–benefit of perceived alternatives can lead to an unsatisfactory business relationship being maintained, as it is not worth changing providers (Bendapudi and Berry 1997; Colgate & Lang 2001).

In retail banking, customers choose their bank based on individual criteria and their personal expectations. Due to the large number of alternative banks and the pronounced homogeneity of the banking services offered, customers face a selection problem and focus on objectively comparable characteristics. If expectations are met or even overfulfilled after a change in the bank, customers see their choice confirmed. If the expectations are not met, however, a cognitive dissonance arises, which offers the former provider a chance to reacquire customers (Mihm 1999). Prices as an observable measure for comparison play an important role in assessing the attractiveness of alternatives. In retail banking, high acquisition premiums are now also used to attract customers. Therefore, win-back initiatives by competitors play an important role.

Pick (2008) shows for the transport services and media industry that a high perceived attractiveness of competing offers has a negative effect on the GWR, regardless of the actual use of alternative offers. Accordingly, the GWR decreases with increasing attractiveness of the alternatives. We assume that due to the intense competition and high price sensitivity, this connection can also apply in principle to retail banking. We therefore hypothesize the following relationship between the attractiveness of the alternatives and the intention to switch back:

\[ H4: \text{The more attractive the alternatives are, the lower the GWR.} \]

Customer-related features

Product-independent characteristics of customers play an important role in forecasting the success of customer recovery initiatives (Naß 2012). Trubik and Smith (2000) find that the gender as a variable cannot contribute to better identification of defecting customers. Rutsatz
(2004) confirms this result and finds no significant connection with the willingness of former customers to return, which also applies to bank customers (Michalski 2002).

Another empirically investigated characteristic is age. Athanassopoulos (2000) finds that with increasing age of private bank customers, their loyalty to the company increases. The risk of churn decreases accordingly. A higher age also has a positive effect on the success of customer recovery initiatives (Homburg et al. 2007). They conclude that it is more difficult for older customers to adapt to new products and that they are therefore more willing to return to a known supplier. For the GWR, Pick (2008) identifies conflicting results for the investigated transport service industry and the media industry. While customers of publishers show an increasing GWR with higher age, the results for the transport service providers point in the opposite direction. For banks, no significant differences can be found between customers who are willing to return and those who are not willing to return with regard to their age (Michalski 2002).

The socio-economic criterion of income is also part of the debate in the scientific literature on customer recovery management. Michalski (2002) shows in her study of credit institutions that bank customers with a high net household income also have a higher willingness to resume a former business relationship. For reasons of effectiveness, it would therefore seem appropriate to limit the customer recovery initiatives to high-income customer segments. Pick (2008) on the other hand, finds no positive correlation between household income and GWR among the customers of the transport service industry. According to their research results, the GWR decreases with increasing income.

In retail banking, the socio-demographic characteristic of age and the socio-economic characteristic of income in particular are very often used for market segmentation and customer typology, as they can be easily collected (Eickbusch 2002; Koot 2005). Due to the contradictory results of previous empirical studies on age or income and their effect on customer recovery success we aim at identifying differences in the GWR in retail banking with respect to age and income. If such differences were detected, customer recovery initiatives could be shaped to address the most promising customer segment. Based on the contradictory findings to date, the following undirected hypotheses are to be analysed:

---

4 In particular, customers with a net household income of between approx. 3,800 and 5,100 euros have a positive attitude towards resuming business relations (Michalski 2002).
**H5: GWR varies according to age.**

**H6: GWR varies depending on net income.**

**Reasons for customer churn**

The reasons for termination expressed by customers indicate a different probability of returning and are also determinants for the success of recovery (Naß 2012). Identified reasons for churn can therefore be used as indicators for estimating the probability of recovery (Homburg et al. 2003). Stauss und Friege (1999) propose a differentiation between customers who have completely defected and customers with a probability to return. In this context, Homburg and Schäfer (1999) identify customers who have a latent need for the product and service offerings of the previous provider. If, on the other hand, customers discontinued using services for reasons beyond a firm’s control, then these are negligible in the context of recovery management. The probability of regaining defected customers increases with companies being able to influence the reasons for their churn. While company-related reasons are initiated by the banks themselves and thus can be controlled to a large extent. Competition-related reasons for churn can be influenced to only a limited extent. Likewise, customer-related reasons such as situational or psychological reasons for customers to migrate can usually hardly be influenced by the credit institution (Michalski 2002; Homburg et al. 2003). Following these findings, it can be assumed that the probability of recovery is highest for company-related causes of customer churn. This is followed by competition-related reasons with a medium probability of recovery, while the lowest probability of recovery is for customer-related reasons (Bruhn & Boenigk 2017).

In this investigation, we aim at detecting a link between the reasons for customer churn and the intention to resume the business relationship. Michalski (2002) already carried out a similar empirical measurement in the banking sector. The study found that customers who terminated the business relationship for competition-related reasons tended to be most willing to return to the former bank. However, only one item was used to measure willingness to resume, and Michalski (2002) found no statistically significant difference between the three categories of churn reasons. Based on the theoretical findings on the probability of recovery and since Michalski’s study dates back almost two decades, it is assumed that there are differences with regard to GWR in the three churn categories. We postulate the following hypothesis:
H7: GWR varies depending on the reason for churn.

3 Data and Methodology

This study analysed defected customers of a small- to medium-sized savings bank. The savings bank considered in the investigation is a decentralised financial services institution with about 45,000 private accounts in 2018. The sample covers account closures from 01 January 2017 to 31 May 2019. The selection of the time span considered the positive time-lag effect identified by Michalski (2002), according to which GWR increases over time. Our sample covers only private customers in retail banking who derive their income predominantly from employment. For our analysis, the closure of the current account was regarded as the termination of the business relationship. Accordingly, GWR for the re-opening of a private current account was levied.

For the selection of test persons, the account terminations in the period mentioned were first examined for relevance. A prerequisite for efficient customer recovery management is that the customers considered should be only those with whom there is a fundamental probability of recovery and who can be expected to engage in a profitable business relationship in the future, in view of the fact that our paper is intended to provide a general overview of the general chances of success of a customer recovery management system.

Account closures due to death were generally excluded from the sample. In terms of account closures by age, the highest churn rates are found among customers aged 31 to 45 years (31.1%). Also relevant are the figures of 26.9% for those 18 to 30 years old and 28.1% for customers between 46 and 64 years of age. The relative churn rates for customers younger than 18 years and older than 65 years, on the other hand, are significantly lower. Our analysis therefore excludes account closures by customers younger than 18 and older than 65 years of age.

As a further criterion, the bank account type before the termination was considered. Customers who had a purely deposit-based basic account due to a previously negative credit performance were not considered. It was assumed that banks are not interested in re-establishing the business relationship with these customers. Account closures that led to a merger with other existing accounts and thus did not result in the termination of the business relationship were also excluded. In order to only investigate customer-initiated terminations by private customers,
business relationships terminated by the bank were also not taken into account. Closures of joint accounts were not included as we aim at examining GWR on an individual customer basis.

Finally, a further exclusion from our data set resulted from legal reasons. Former customers may be contacted only by post. However, this is permitted only if this communication channel has not been expressly objected to. Therefore, customers who have negated their consent to data protection for postal communication or who are registered in the ‘Do Not Call’ registry (Robinson registry) also had to be excluded in advance.

In total, 1815 former customers were identified, taking into account the exclusions listed above (see Table 1).

[Insert Tab. 1 here]

A standardised written questionnaire was used to determine the reasons for customers’ churn. The questionnaire was made available via two different media, online and by post. Former customers may be contacted only by post. In order to increase the response rate, the postal version included an envelope for free return and the provision of the findings was offered. Participation in the survey was possible for 2 weeks from 15 June 2019 to 30 June 2019. A pretest was carried out with regard to the design of the questionnaire and its operationalisation.

The survey offered predefined response options and included 16 items in four thematic question blocks. Question block 1 focused on the reasons for churn as well as on the process of terminating the relationship. In the second block, questions aimed at measuring the attitude of customers. Block 3 investigated the potential conditions for resuming a business relationship. The survey concluded with socio-demographic and economic questions about the person in the fourth block of questions. Table 2 lists the reasons for customer churn that were investigated.

[Insert Tab. 2 here]

In order to confirm that there are usually several reasons for termination, multiple selection was allowed. The second question was then used to identify the main reason for terminating the business relationship in case of several reasons. Items 3–8 refer to the termination process and
provide additional information on the chances of success of customer win-back initiatives. A binary question about the main bank details is used to find out how many core customers have terminated the business relationship. The fourth item provides information on whether the customers who left the bank articulated their reason for termination and thus offered the bank the opportunity to avert termination in advance. Item 5 refers to the termination procedure (personal termination, written termination or via the new credit institution). Items 6 and 7 provide indications of the extent to which customer recovery management activities have already been carried out by the bank without a systematic process. Item 8 serves the purpose of churn analysis and provides insights into which competitor has convinced the customer to migrate.

Question block 2 serves to collect the variables ‘general willingness to resume business’, ‘variety-seeking’, ‘satisfaction with the previous business relationship’ and ‘attractiveness of alternatives’. For the selection of suitable items, we use the operationalisation of Pick (2008). For the four constructs, a 5-point Likert scale with named end points was used for the measurement of the settings. The end points of the scale were named according to the respective indicators and the individual scale points were numbered for better orientation. To avoid the central tendency, a ‘no indication’ option was additionally provided. This additional option thus enables a distinction to be made between actually indifferent or ambivalent opinions and unwanted statements.

Question block 3 features the optional and open question 13, ‘under what specific conditions would you open an account with the savings bank again?’ This serves to obtain additional information, whether a GWR would exist at all.

Questions 14–16 on socio-demographic and socio-economic data serve as differential analysis and represent the fourth block of questions. In order to test the research hypotheses, age and income ranges were formed. The age was surveyed on an ordinal scale and categorised into the three relevant ranges 18 to 30 years, 31 to 45 years and 46 to 64 years according to the customer types at the savings bank in question. Like age, net income was surveyed at an ordinal level and grouped in income ranges (customer segments). Customers are segmented into a net income of less than €1000, between €1000 and €1750, between €1750 and €2250 and above €2250.

5 The category ‘65 years and older’ has been added in order not to create a discriminatory effect externally through age-related containment, but they are not considered a core target group of recovery efforts and are not included in the study itself.
Hypothesis H1 is tested by means of the relative frequency distribution of the categorised reasons for churn. We use a correlation analysis to test the bivariate correlation hypotheses H2, H3 and H4. The aim is to quantify the correlations between the GWR and the three selected determinants for the likelihood of success of customer win-back initiatives. Due to the equidistance generated, the Likert scale can be interpreted as a quasi-metric scale and the data collected can be treated as interval scale (Theobald 2017).

For the characteristics examined, it is assumed that there is a linear relationship between the GWR and the respective influencing variables of variety-seeking, satisfaction with the previous business relationship and attractiveness of the alternatives. Following Cohen (1988), we consider it as a low or weak correlation at $|r| \approx .10$, a medium or moderate correlation at $|r| \approx .30$ and a high or strong correlation at $|r| \approx .50$. For the differential analysis of the hypotheses H5, H6 and H7, a univariate, single factor analysis of variance is performed due to the different scale levels of the variables to be investigated.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Results

A total of 1798 of 1815 former customers were reached in the survey, as 17 respondents did not receive the questionnaire because of invalid address data. All told, 282 people took part in the survey during the survey period. This corresponds to a response rate of 15.7%, comprising 144 (51.1%) online participants and 138 (48.9%) postal returns. A total of 7 respondents had to be sorted out due to incomplete data. As a result, a final sample of 275 respondents was generated for the study. Table 3 summarises the structural data of the sample.

[Insert Tab. 3 here]

Overall, the sample is largely balanced for individual characteristics (max. +/- 10 percentage points) as there is no clear overrepresentation of individual characteristic values within the groups. Nevertheless, it cannot be concluded from this that the sample is representative for former private bank customers, as the surveyed bank is merely representing one pillar of the three-pillar banking system in Germany.
In the course of the churn analysis, a total of 606 reasons were given for the termination of the account, which represents an average of 2.2 reasons for churn per lost customer. The dominant main reason for churn is \textit{dissatisfaction with the price for the account}. For 48.0\% of test persons, this reason was the decisive factor for terminating the contractual relationship. Change of residence is also of high importance with 22.5\% of the mentions, which is not surprising given the prevailing regional concept of savings banks in Germany. For 7.3\% of participants, a change in life situation also played a role in termination, while 5.1\% of test persons were persuaded to change their bank account by a competitive offer from another credit institution. Accessibility (0.0\%) has no relevance, and the various other mentioned reasons for churn shown in Figure 1 have only marginal relevance, ranging from 0.4\% to 2.9\%. Other reasons were account for 5.1\% of the responses. Figure 1 provides an overview of the main reasons for churn.

[Insert Fig. 1 here]

Applying the selected categorisation according to the strategic triangle, \textit{company-related reasons for churn} dominate with 60.9\% of all mentions. 32.2\% of the respondents, terminate the relationship for customer-related reasons, while competition-related reasons account for only a small share (6.9\%). We can therefore confirm hypothesis H1 that company-related reasons dominate in contrast to competition- and customer-related reasons.

When focusing on the individual customer types and segments, different characteristics become apparent. Figure 2 shows the characteristics in relation to age and net income.

[Insert Fig. 2 here]

With increasing age and net income, company-related reasons gain in importance and the relevance of customer-related reasons for termination decreases. Among 18 to 30 years old customers, company-related reasons are only important for about half (51.1\%) of the respondents. In contrast, customer-related (38.6\%) and competition-related (10.2\%) reasons for termination are quite pronounced in this age group. Among customers aged 31 to 45 and 46 to 64, respectively, the churn was mainly due to negative experiences with the company (64.6\% and 67.5\%) and customer-related churn plays a minor role (31.3\% and 26.0\%).
The four customer segments show a similar picture. While customer and competition-related reasons predominate among the customers with the lowest income (51.8%), deficiencies in company performance are the dominant cause of churn in the other segments. Competition-related reasons are the least pronounced among customers with a net income between €1000 and €1750 (1.4%), but they increase significantly with rising net incomes at the expense of customer-related churn. Among customers with a net income above €2250, customer-related reasons (25.7%) are the least significant for terminating a business relationship.

Of participants in the survey, 89.8% had used the terminated account as their primary bank facility. The reason for terminating before the explicit termination was communicated by 40.0% of customers. The remaining 60.0% terminated the business relationship without prior notification. This result is consistent with their chosen termination method. Of the total test persons, 45.5% had their accounts closed directly by the new credit institution without any possibility of interaction. Another 19.6% preferred to terminate the account in writing and avoided personal contact with the bank. About one-third (34.9%) offered the possibility of direct interaction when they personally visited the branch to close the private account. In only 41.5% of cases was the reason for termination queried by an employee of the credit institution, and in 47.6% of the sample, no reasons were collected for why the customer had terminated the banking relationship. Another 10.9% could not remember whether they were asked about the reasons for leaving. It is noteworthy that only every fifth customer (21.1%) reported that their credit institution had made an attempt to save the relationship after the customer had communicated an intention to terminate their account. In 68.7% of cases, no attempt was made at all to avoid termination. The remaining 10.2% do not remember.

In Table 4, we present the results of the assumed linear relationships of the constructs of variety-seeking, satisfaction with previous business relationships, and the attractiveness of alternatives, with the GWR.

The arithmetic mean ($\bar{x}$) for the GWR of all survey units is 2.28 with a standard deviation ($s$) of 1.02. Of the three determinants examined, satisfaction with the previous business relationship has the highest value ($\bar{x} = 3.76, s = 0.97$), followed by the attractiveness of the alternatives ($\bar{x} = 3.23, s = 0.92$). The lowest value was measured for the construct variety-seeking ($\bar{x} =$
2.66, \( s = 1.05 \). The variances (\( s^2 \)) of the individual characteristic values are in the range between 0.84 and 1.09 and can be interpreted as approximately the same.

The directed hypothesis H2 postulates a negative correlation between variety-seeking and GWR, i.e. the greater the desire for variety, the lower the GWR. Contrary to this assumption, the measurement showed a positive correlation coefficient, so that H2 does not apply. Instead, there is a weak, significantly positive correlation.

With hypothesis H3 a positive correlation between satisfaction with the previous business relationship and the GWR was formulated. The results confirm this assumption. Satisfaction with the previous business relationship correlates moderately positively with the GWR, and result is highly significant. Thus, we can confirm H3. Hypothesis H4, which postulates a negative linear correlation between the attractiveness of the alternatives and the GWR, also applies. However, the correlation is rather low but significant.

The basis for the evaluations of hypotheses H5 to H7 are the individual characteristic values of the metrically measured GWR. The data collected for women (\( \bar{x} = 2.26, s = 1.03 \)) and men (\( \bar{x} = 2.29, s = 1.01 \)) were checked using the \( t \)-test. No statistically significant difference between the genders was found (\( t(266) = -0.245, p > .05 \)), which is why we do not discuss this characteristic further. The measured values for the factors age and net income are shown in Table 5.

Hypothesis H5 postulates that GWR varies depending on age. With an average value of 2.37, the highest incidence of GWR is among customers aged 18 to 30 years. In contrast, the lowest value is found among customers aged 46 to 64 years (\( \bar{x} = 2.12 \)), which indicates that the GWR decreases with increasing age. However, the results for the variable age are not statistically significant. With regard to the socio-economic criterion income, hypothesis H6 assumed that the GWR varies in relation to net income. The results indicate a decreasing GWR with increasing net income. However, just like for the variable age, the differences are not statistically significant.

Finally, hypothesis H7 postulated that the GWR would vary depending on the reason for churn. The survey data from the churn analysis were taken up and categorised according to the strategic triangle (see Table 6).
The results show the lowest GWR for company-related churn ($\bar{x} = 2.12, s = .92$), followed by competition-related churn ($\bar{x} = 2.28, s = .97$) and the highest value for customer-related churn ($\bar{x} = 2.48, s = 1.06$). The mean values for GWR differ significantly for the various categorical reasons for churn. Therefore, we can confirm hypothesis H7.

4.2 Discussion

Reasons for churn

In total, four of the seven hypotheses were confirmed and provide significant results (H1, H3, H4 and H7). Hypothesis H1 that banks loose customers more often for company-related reasons than for competitive and customer-related reasons can be confirmed in principle. The churn analysis shows that there are usually several reasons why customers defect. For retail banking, this implies that the decision to defect is not made on the basis of individual points of criticism or events, but that there are several reasons for the final decision. Instead of an impulsive decision, e.g. based on one-off negative events, the churn is more likely to be based on a rational choice. This can also be seen in the dominance of price dissatisfaction as the pivotal reason for terminating the relationship. Almost half of the bank customers have churned because of the fee for managing the account. This confirms a high price sensitivity of bank customers and consequently leads to a questioning of loyalty to credit institutions that charge fees for an account. If the termination is due to a competitive offer that includes an attractive account price, this conclusion is even reinforced. It may be questionable, however, how sustainable these tempting prices will be for the customer in the course of the new business relationship. The enticing competitors could engage in price dumping and lure customers without being able to justify these offers on a lasting basis with their own cost structure.

As our findings show, other company-related factors that can be influenced, such as dissatisfaction with account services or poor quality of service or advice, are not relevant for terminating a business relationship. Findings of other studies that list poor service quality as a reason
for churn (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2017b) could not be confirmed in this investigation. The closure of branches and the change of contact person are also not reasons worth mentioning, which is understandable against the background of the changed importance of the bank branch in the digital age. A personal contact person is needed only in exceptional cases and not for everyday banking transactions such as the processing of payment transactions. Most services can be handled via online banking or banking apps. The branch is mainly used as a contact point for cash supply. Since it is now also possible to withdraw cash from providers outside the industry, such as grocery stores, the closure of branches is not a relevant reason for churn. Customers do not seem to miss the bank branch. This is all the more true if the trend towards cashless payments continues in Germany. Furthermore, if personal advice is required, it is now also possible to obtain it by telephone or via digital media such as chats or video conferencing.

Competition influences customer churn to only a small extent. Instead, it is an individual decision. Advertising measures or personal inquiries from competitors only lead to churn when the offer is convincing. Comparison portals on the Internet support this process and take over the previously costly price and performance comparison for bank customers.

With regard to customer-related reasons, private customers who are less price-sensitive migrate mainly due to a change of residence or a change in life situation. One reason for this is the regional principle that limits the business area of the credit institution or savings bank. Although, on the basis of technological possibilities, the processing of payment transactions is possible independent of time and location, many bank customers still have a need for a local credit institution. This is to a certain extent contradictory to the insight that the branch is often regarded as a mere cash supply point by the customer. Taking a closer look, this may be due to the customer's desire for security in the event of an urgent and short-term need for personal advice. Furthermore, it was found that the desire for variety is not noteworthy. One explanation may well be the increased number of secondary accounts. Assuming that another secondary account already existed some time before the closure of the main bank account, the search for variety was no longer decisive for the account closure due to existing experience.

Characteristics of customers

With regard to customer characteristics, it was found that with increasing age and net income, company-related churn becomes more important. The company-related reasons are significantly less relevant for the decision to terminate a contract in the case of customers between
18 and 30 years of age and customers with an income of up to €1,000 than is the case with older customers and net income above that level. At the same time, these customer groups have a particular affinity for competitive offers. With regard to the dissatisfaction with the price as the main reason for churn, they are therefore more willing to pay a fee for account management. In practice, it is often assumed for this customer segment that young customers migrate mainly because the fee waiver for their account no longer applies. However, our findings show that price dissatisfaction is not necessarily the decisive reason for churn. The reasons why customers aged 18 to 30 change banks more often for customer-related reasons could be the fact that they have not yet determined their centre of life and experience frequent private changes during this phase of life (e.g. job change, family planning). The results show that the account fee is a problem for all customer groups, in particular for customers greater than 30 years of age. Price-strategic considerations should therefore be applied to all customers. From the view of banks with regard to their loss of income in the event of churn, income from account fees should be rather substituted by cross-selling, especially for customers aged above 30 years, who often have a longer business relationship and higher incomes.

An essential part of customer recovery management is to identify the reasons for churn and to initiate appropriate measures to avoid future churn for reasons that can be influenced. However, the chances of this happening are becoming increasingly slim. With today's technical resources and the simplified switching process, the barriers to entering into a new banking relationship have fallen significantly. Our results also show that almost half of all account closures are now initiated by the new bank. A possible explanation could also be a certain shame on the part of the customers. Customers do not want to find themselves in the situation of reporting their intention to switch or enter into a possible confrontation. As the results also show, only 40% of customers contact their credit institution in advance of terminating their relationship, thus enabling direct interaction before termination. Although this provided at least partial information about the threat of termination, for only one in five terminations was an attempt made to keep the customer. One reason could be the lack of an internal company process. The bank employees do not have the necessary competence to take concrete countermeasures.

**Influences on GWR**

Evaluating the usefulness of implementing customer recovery management in retail banking, the investment costs required for this must be compared with the chances of success. Overall,
the general willingness to recover former banking relationships can be assessed only as low. Once customers have migrated, the chance that the decision made will be subsequently revised is limited.

On the basis of cognitive dissonance theory, three determinants were identified which were suspected to be related to the GWR. According to the theoretical findings, it was assumed that cognitive processes after the decision to leave the bank make the option of returning to the former credit institution probable in principle (Rutsatz 2004; Pick et al. 2016). The desire for variety is mediocre with an average score of 2.66 across all former customers. Some of the customers seem to like trying new things, while others prefer consistency. The negative correlation assumed with hypothesis H2, that a highly pronounced desire for variety leads to a lower GWR, could not be found. A possible explanation for the positive effect on GWR can be the high degree of standardisation in everyday banking transactions. The cognitive effort for the decision to switch is relatively limited, since the risk of a wrong decision is significantly lower due to the homogeneous services. A real ‘change’ is not to be expected from the customer's point of view, at least not among the established banks. The one clear differentiation is in pricing. It can therefore be assumed that customers whose desire for variety is more pronounced tend to compare prices and services even after they have decided to switch. The positive and highly significant correlation with the GWR may be an indication that former customers will reconsider former providers in future comparisons and give them a second chance. Against the background that customers were not primarily dissatisfied with other features of the business relationship, this assumption is reinforced.

In the analysis of the relationship between satisfaction with the previous business relationship and the GWR, we found a positive correlation (hypothesis H3). Specifically, it was found that the former customers had a relatively high overall satisfaction with the terminated business relationship with a measured value of 3.76, which corresponds to industry averages (Bundesverband deutscher Banken 2017b). As it turns out, satisfaction alone is not a sufficient condition in retail banking to prevent churn. Dissonances that lead to termination cannot be completely prevented by mere satisfaction without enthusiasm. Looking again at the results of the churn analysis, it is mainly price dissatisfaction and customer-related reasons, which are largely detached from satisfaction, that are relevant for churn. Although the company's efforts to ensure, for example, a high quality of service and advice, continuous contact persons or customer-friendly complaint management lead to satisfied customers overall, they are not sufficient to inspire ambivalent customers in the long term. It is the product-related dissatisfaction,
specifically with the account fee, that overshadows existing relationship satisfaction and leads to churn. The direct banks show that free account management can lead to enthusiasm (Lindenau 2017). In accordance with the findings of Michalski (2002) customers differentiate between relationship-oriented overall satisfaction and partial satisfaction or dissatisfaction with individual product features. Of the determinants examined, satisfaction with the previous business relationship shows the strongest correlation with the GWR. This leads to the conclusion that although the general satisfaction with the retail bank cannot prevent customers to defect, however, it is positively related to the GWR to the former provider. Relationship satisfaction thus forms the basis for effective win-back initiatives.

The importance of competing offers for customer recovery management is reflected in the perceived attractiveness of the alternatives, which correlates negatively with the GWR. For hypothesis H4 it was found that the GWR decreases with increasing attractiveness of the alternatives. This initially logical, negative correlation is weak, however. The mean value of 3.23 indicates that the competing offers are generally considered attractive. Here, too, an influence of the account fee can be assumed in the customer's evaluation. Among the competition-related reasons, special offers from competitors were the key reason for switching. This could have led to the fact that the evaluation was mainly price-driven, which seems plausible considering the fierce price wars for private customers with a simultaneously homogeneous range of services.

The analyses of age and income groups carried out to test hypotheses H5 and H6 did not reveal any statistically significant differences in the GWR. Our results indicate that segmentation according to the criteria of age and income, which have been widely used in customer loyalty management at credit institutions to date, does not appear dominant in the context of customer recovery management. Since the GWR is a necessary condition for the actual recovery, the design of specific win-back initiatives depending on age or income does not seem to be a target-oriented solution either.

Significant differences with regard to the GWR, on the other hand, become apparent when considering the categories of the main reasons for churn (hypothesis H7). Our results are contrary to the postulated assumptions of earlier studies, according to which the identified reasons for churn can be used as indicators for estimating the probability of recovery (Michalski 2002; Homburg et al. 2003; Naß 2012; Neu & Günter 2015; Bruhn & Boenigk 2017). If the business relationship was terminated for customer-related reasons, a low or no probability of recovery would be assumed. However, this customer group has the highest actual intention to return. In contrast, the GWR is statistically significantly lower if company-related reasons caused the
customer to defect. This finding has far-reaching consequences in view of the fact that reasons for churn can be influenced. While unsatisfactory performance on the part of the bank can be remedied, at least in principle, this is mostly not the case with private reasons for termination. It can be concluded from this that a subsequent remedy of the internal performance problems that lead to churn offers only limited opportunities to win back former customers. Due to the predominant price dissatisfaction, the customers who have migrated do not seem to assume that this factor will be adjusted promptly, which is reflected negatively in their willingness to resume, even despite a high level of satisfaction with the entire previous business relationship.

In the case of customer-related churn, the GWR is significantly higher, but the customer-specific reasons for the decision can rarely be influenced. Since the main reason for customer-related churn is the change of residence, the regional principle proves to be an obstacle that is almost impossible to overcome for regional banks. Although these customers are more willing to re-open an account with the former credit institution, this is not possible due to the territorial restrictions. It is therefore not possible to win back customers who have completely migrated. In the event of a partial churn, an attempt could be made to retain customers, by using the advantages of online and mobile banking to process payment transactions. However, such services are rarely cost-covering for the bank, and customers are more likely to contract higher margin services requiring intensive advice from the new bank on site. Under these circumstances, winning back former customers who have changed their place of residence is also not a viable solution for regional banks.

5 Conclusion

Retail banking is characterized by a highly dynamic environment, rapidly advancing technological developments and intense competition. In this market environment, the customer–bank relationship is characterized by declining customer loyalty and an increased willingness to switch banks.

Against this background, the aim of this study is to provide a theoretical classification of customer recovery management in the retail banking industry and to provide indications for strategic decisions on the organizational implementation of this management process. To date, customer recovery management has played a subordinate role in the relationship marketing activities of credit institutions, although the prerequisites are available. Up to now, little attention has been paid to a systematic examination of professional customer recovery management.
in retail banking, both in theory and in practice. Although many banks are aware of the negative
effects of customer churn, the focus is on the acquisition of new customers, which is more cost-
intensive (Neu & Günter 2015). The resources required to implement a recovery process in
retail banking must first be justified on the basis of the chances of success from a customer
perspective. The use of specific win-back initiatives can be successful above all if there is a
GWR among the lost customers.

In this study it was found that company-related reasons for churn predominate in retail bank-
ing. The most significant in this category is dissatisfaction with the price of account manage-
ment. One finding in this context is that customers between 18 and 30 years of age and custom-
ers with an income of up to €1000 are more willing to pay a fee for account management than
is the case with older customers and those with higher incomes. Price dissatisfaction can basically be cured by the credit institution by accepting the economic consequences. Customers
who are less price-sensitive, however, often migrate due to a change of residence or a private
change in their life situation.

For evaluating the chances of success of a customer recovery management we used the con-
cept of a relationship’s GWR. This fundamentally positive attitude of former customers is in
line with the dissonance theory and can be seen as a major driver for the actual return to the
former provider. The GWR is a general prerequisite for the success of concrete win-back initi-
atives within the framework of customer recovery management. As our findings show, there is
a moderate GWR with former retail banking customers. A review of the segmentation criteria
of age and income, which are common in retail banking, did not reveal any significant differ-
ences in the GWR of former customers. By contrast, diverse results were found when the rea-
sons for churn were examined. If bank customers migrated for personal reasons, GWR is sig-
nificantly higher than if the business relationship was terminated for company-related reasons.
This result is contrary to the ability to influence the reasons for churn and thus limits the prob-
ability of customers to return.

Based on the dissonance theory, the three determinants variety-seeking, attractiveness of
alternatives and satisfaction with the previous business relationship were selected for a corre-
lation analysis. A statistically significant correlation with the GWR could be found. The pro-
posed negative correlation between the desire for variety and the GWR could not be found. It
can therefore only be assumed that lost customers will also take former providers back into
account if the reasons for churn are reassessed. With regard to the attractiveness of the alterna-
tives, the proposed negative correlation could be found. The more attractive the competing offer
is for the former customers, the less the intention to return. A positive correlation exists between relationship satisfaction and the intention to resume the former business relationship. If the customers were generally satisfied with the previous business relationship, the GWR is higher.

We do acknowledge a number of limitations in our research, which reveal potential for future research. Our analysis is based on a sample of 1,815 account closures of a small to medium-sized savings bank in Germany. A larger sample, potentially also comprising other types of banks, might reveal different results. Additionally, due to the particularities of the German banking system, a study involving banks from different countries is likely to reveal substantial differences in customers’ switch-back behaviours.

Whether customer recovery management in retail banking will be successful depends on the individual situation of the credit institution. Against the background of the market situation in retail banking and the different marketing policies of the banks, our findings of the churn analysis cannot be applied across the board to all credit institutions. This is all the more true for the different pricing and distribution policies. Credit institutions that charge account fees and have a regionally limited business area are increasingly affected by customer churn, from which especially the lower priced banks are likely to benefit. As our results show, former customers were generally satisfied with the former financial services provider, with the exception of the account fee. Nevertheless, the measures taken by traditional retail banks to date have not been sufficient to inspire customers and retain them in the long term. In order to increase customer willingness to return, banks must not only meet customer expectations but also exceed them. Price-strategic considerations should be at the forefront here.

On the basis of our results, banks should intensively deal with churn analysis as a process component of customer recovery management and first systematically assess the reasons for termination, which is not yet done to a sufficient extent. Priority should be given to measures to avoid churn before planning concrete win-back initiatives. Since the probability of winning back customers based on the reasons for termination is not necessarily in line with the fundamental willingness to resume a previous business relationship, investments should primarily be made in customer loyalty management.
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Annex

Figure 1: Main Reasons for Customer Churn
Distribution of the main reasons for churn within the three categories of companies (red), competition (blue) and customers (green) and others (black).
Figure 2: Main Reasons for Customer Churn by Age and Income
Distribution of the main reasons for churn categorised according to the strategic triangle for customer types by age and by income.

Reason for churn according to customer type (n = 261)

Reason for churn by customer segment (n=261)
Table 1: Account Closures at the Savings Bank

Account closures (absolute and relative) at the surveyed savings bank by age group and reasons for exclusion from accounts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account closures (cumulative)</th>
<th>Absolute</th>
<th>Relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Account closures</td>
<td>5,518</td>
<td>100,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less deceased persons</td>
<td>1,673</td>
<td>30,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account closures</td>
<td>3,845</td>
<td>100,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereof 17 years and younger</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereof 18 to 30 years</td>
<td>1,033</td>
<td>26,9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereof 31 to 45 years</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>31,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereof 46 to 64 years</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>28,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereof 65 years and older</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>13,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account closures</td>
<td>3,845</td>
<td>100,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less younger 18 and older 64 years</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>13,9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less negative credit performance</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>7,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less bank initiated/merging accounts</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>19,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less joint accounts</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>11,9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less objected postal contact</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant account closures</td>
<td>1,815</td>
<td>47,2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Reasons for Churn According to the Strategic Triangle
Categorisation of the investigated reasons for churn into the three dimensions company, competition and customer according to the strategic triangle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company-related</th>
<th>Competition-related</th>
<th>Customer-related</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influenceable</td>
<td>Conditionally Influenceable</td>
<td>Not influenceable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative image of the savings bank</td>
<td>Special offer from another credit institution</td>
<td>Change in life situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied with price</td>
<td>Personal enticement by another credit institution</td>
<td>Change of residence outside the district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with account services</td>
<td>General advertisement of another credit institution</td>
<td>Desire for variety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch closures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of contact person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality of advice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality of service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor handling of a complaint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3: Descriptives of the Sample**
Composition (absolute and relative) of the sample, based on the personal characteristics gender and age and the economic characteristic net income.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Characteristic Value</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>female</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>275</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer Type (age)</strong></td>
<td>18–30 years</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31–45 years</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46–64 years</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>275</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer Segment</strong></td>
<td>under 1,000 €</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 € to less than 1,750 €</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,750 € to under 2,250 €</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 2,250 €</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>275</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Correlation Analysis
Correlation of the determinants variety-seeking, satisfaction with the previous business relationship as well as attractiveness of the alternatives with the general willingness to resume business (GWR). $r$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Descriptive statistics</th>
<th>Correlation with GW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety-seeking</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attractiveness of alternatives</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** The correlation is significant at the level of 0.01.
Table 5: ANOVA by Age and Net Income

Expression of the variables age and net income in relation to the general willingness to resume (GWR) and analysis of the differences in the respective ranges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Descriptive Statistics GW</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>18–30 years</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31–45 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46–64 years</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>&lt;1.000 €</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.000 € to 1.750 €</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.750 € to 2.250 €</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2.250 €</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: ANOVA by Reason for Churn

Reasons for churn according to the strategic triangle in relation to the general willingness to resume (GWR) and analysis of the differences between the reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Descriptive Statistics GW</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>x̅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for churn</td>
<td>company-related</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>2,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>competition-related</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>customers-related</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2,48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>